Endosymbionts that threaten commercially raised and wild bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.)

Laura L. Figueroa[1,2,#], Ben M. Sadd[3,#], Amber D. Tripodi[4,#], James P. Strange[5], Sheila R. Colla[6], Laurie Davies Adams[7], Michelle A. Duennes[8], Elaine C. Evans[9], David M. Lehmann[10], Heather Moylett[11], Leif Richardson[12], James W. Smith[13], Tamara A. Smith[14], Edward M. Spevak[15], and David W. Inouye[16]*

[1]Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
[2]Department of Entomology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14850, USA
[3]School of Biological Sciences, Illinois State University, Normal, IL 61790, USA
[4]Unaffiliated, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27604, USA
[5]Department of Entomology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43214, USA
[6]Faculty of Environmental and Urban Change, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
[7]Pollinator Partnership, 600 Montgomery, Suite 440, San Francisco, CA 94111, USA
[8]Department of Biology, Saint Vincent College, Latrobe, PA 15650, USA
[9]Department of Entomology, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN 55108 USA
[10]Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment (CPHEA), Health and Environmental Effects Assessment Division, Integrated Health Assessment Branch, US - Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27711, USA
[11]Unaffiliated, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27604, USA
[12]The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, 628 NE Broadway, Suite 20, Portland, OR 97232-1324, USA
[13]Retired USDA-Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Raleigh, NC 27526, USA
[14]US Fish & Wildlife Service, Minnesota/Wisconsin Ecological Services Field Office, 4101 American Boulevard East, Bloomington, MN 55425, USA
[15]Center for Native Pollinator Conservation, Saint Louis Zoo, One Government Drive, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA
[16]Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, and Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, PO Box 519, Crested Butte, CO 81224, USA
#Joint first authors, in alphabetical order

Disclaimer—The views expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Science Foundation, or Fish and Wildlife Service. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Abstract—Bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) are important pollinators for both wild and agriculturally managed plants. We give an overview of what is known about the diverse community of internal potentially deleterious bumble bee symbionts, including viruses, bacteria, protozoans, fungi, and nematodes, as well as methods for their detection, quantification, and control. We also provide information on assessment of risk for select bumble bee symbionts and highlight key knowledge gaps. This information is crucial for ongoing efforts to establish parasite- conscious programs for future commerce in bumble bees for crop pollination, and to mitigate the problems with pathogen spillover to wild populations.

Keywords—*Bombus*; bumble bee; symbionts; parasites; pathogens

Introduction

Bumble bees (*Bombus* species) are widespread globally, but most prevalent and diverse at high altitudes and high latitudes, where they can constitute a large proportion of the pollinator fauna. There is reason for concern about their status, as declines have been reported around the world (Arbetman et al. 2017; Graves et al. 2020; Soroye et al. 2020; Van Dooren 2019), and a North

American species has been listed as endangered and hasn't been seen since 2006 (https://biologicaldiversity.org/w/news/press-releases/elusive-pacific-northwest-bumblebee-listed-as-endangered-2021-08-23/; accessed 18 January 2023). Bumble bees are important pollinators for both wild and cultivated plants, and there is now a thriving trade in commercial colonies, shipped to many parts of the world, for pollination of crops such as tomatoes, blueberries, and raspberries, both in greenhouses and open fields (Velthuis & van Doorn 2006). The loosely regulated trade of commercial bumble bees has led to the introduction of a variety of endoparasites, with possible spillover to conspecifics and other species in the wild bumble bee community, or to native species when the commercial species are non-native (Colla et al. 2006; Graystock et al. 2013b). We are still discovering the extent and frequency of parasite spread to wild communities through commerce, and the consequences of these introductions for native bees. As a prelude to potential regulation, such as a "clean stock" mandate that bees be certified as parasite-free before being shipped (Strange et al. 2023), it is important to know the diversity of known bumble bee endosymbionts, their impacts on hosts (when known), and potential mechanisms for preventing future introductions. Here we review the literature and describe the diversity, pathology, and detection methods for bumble bee viruses, bacteria, protozoans, fungi, and nematodes, as well as associated knowledge gaps.

1) SYMBIONTS POTENTIALLY DELETERIOUS TO BUMBLE BEES

In this section, we address some of the most important, most commonly encountered, and best-known potentially deleterious internal symbionts of bumble bees (i.e., endosymbionts), particularly those that are of interest in captive rearing environments. This is far from a complete list (See supplementary Symbiont List in Appendix I) but interested readers who wish to learn about some of the more obscure organisms associated with bumble bees are encouraged to seek the works on parasitism (Beaurepaire et al. 2020; de Miranda et al. 2013; Macfarlane et al. 1995; Schmid-Hempel 1999) and bumble bee natural history (Alford 1975; Goulson 2010). Additionally, we use the term "parasite" broadly to refer to organisms of all taxa,

including viruses, that sustain themselves at the expense of their hosts and have the potential to cause harm to their hosts, a definition which, for our purposes, also encompasses the term "pathogen". Here we focus on known bumble bee endosymbionts; a review of known bumble bee ectosymbionts can be found in Evans et al. (2023). Recommendations for implementing a clean stock program to detect and prevent the spread of parasites of concern in commercial rearing facilities that pose a threat to wild bees can be found in Strange et al. (2023).

VIRUSES

To date, all of the named viruses detected in bumble bees have previously been reported from honey bees. There are approximately 60 honey bee viruses currently known, although next-generation sequencing technologies are allowing for the exploratory discovery of additional viruses of managed honey bees and wild bees (Beaurepaire et al. 2020; de Miranda et al. 2013; Remnant et al. 2017; Schoonvaere et al. 2016). A single virus, perhaps specific to bumble bees, was noted in three North American species in the 1980s (present in *B. pensylvanicus, B. impatiens,* and *B. fervidus;* absent in *B. bimaculatus* and *B. vagans*), but nothing is known about these "entomopoxvirus-like particles", aside from their original description (Clark 1982). Most honey bee-associated viruses found in bumble bees are single-stranded, positive-strand RNA (ss-RNA) viruses. The structure of these ss-RNA viruses allows for the diagnosis of active replication through detection of the negative (replicating) strand. Although negative-strand detection has indicated that the so-called honey bee viruses do replicate within bumble bees (Fürst et al. 2014; Li et al. 2011; Radzevičiūtė et al. 2017), the effects of infection on individuals and colonies are largely unknown, and it is not clear whether presence of these viruses is maintained largely through spillover or whether substantial transmission occurs within the wild bee community (Manley et al. 2015). Many honey bee viruses persist within honey bee colonies as non-apparent, chronic infections that exhibit symptoms only when the colony is exposed to additional stressors or intracuticular exposure, such as seen with the strains transmitted by *Varroa* mites (McMenamin et al. 2016). Although these viruses are considered honey bee viruses, there is

little known of their true host ranges or their ability to cause disease in non-*Apis* hosts (Tehel et al. 2016).

Deformed Wing Virus (DWV) is one of the most commonly detected honey bee viruses in both Europe and North America (Dolezal et al. 2016; McMahon et al. 2015). DWV is known to affect colonies negatively and can be transferred by feeding on infected pollen. Although infected individuals often eclose as adults with crippled wings, cryptic and asymptomatic infections are known, and other factors can deform the wings of bees during pupation, including infections of *Vairimorpha* (*Nosema*) *bombi* (Rutrecht & Brown 2009). The first detection of the virus in bumble bees was based on visual inspection of overt pathology. In a commercial rearing facility in Europe, about 10% of new *B. terrestris* queens exhibited characteristic crumpled wings upon eclosion, and these, as well as asymptomatic honey bees in a co-located apiary, were shown to be harboring DWV (Genersch et al. 2006). The host range of DWV might be quite broad, however, as replicating DWV has been found in a number of insect orders, including Blattodea and Dermaptera, as well as in *Varroa destructor,* a member of the class Arachnida and an ectoparasite of honey bees (Gisder & Genersch 2016; Manley et al. 2015). A recent study has documented the ongoing potential replacement of genotypes of DWV in honey bees (Paxton et al. 2022).

Using molecular means, DWV has been detected across a broad spectrum of wild bee hosts in many families. In the United Kingdom, asymptomatic cases of DWV have been detected in wild, flying individuals of *B. terrestris* and *B. pascuorum*, as well as in the wasp *Vespula vulgaris* (Evison et al. 2012). Prevalence of DWV is often quite high in some of the insect populations surveyed, (*e.g.*, *Apis mellifera* (100%); *B. terrestris* (29%), and the wasp *V. vulgaris* (30%)), although other species of bumble bees surveyed at these same sites were free of DWV (Evison et al. 2012). DWV has also been detected in North American bumble bee species, including field-collected *B. ternarius* and *B. vagans*, wild and lab-reared *B. huntii,* and commercially sourced *B. impatiens* (Levitt et al. 2013; Li et al. 2011; Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015; Singh et al. 2010). The virus has also been observed in bumble bees from commercially

sourced colonies in Europe (Evison et al. 2012; Graystock et al. 2013b). In North America, active replication of DWV has been observed in *B. huntii, B. impatiens,* and *B. vagans* (Levitt et al. 2013; Li et al. 2011). There were no measurable differences between quantified levels of virus in wild bees and wild-caught honey bees in a study in the United States, although wild-caught honey bees had much higher levels in a quantification study in the United Kingdom (Dolezal et al. 2016; McMahon et al. 2015). In a survey of *B. atratus* in Colombia, 100% of the bees from seven nests screened for parasites had DWV (Gamboa et al. 2015) and both native and introduced species of *Bombus* in Argentina were found to have a variety of viral pathogens (Arismendi et al. 2021).

Few experiments have addressed the incidence of disease in DWV-infected bumble bees, but DWV has been shown to increase mortality in experimentally infected individuals both alone and with co-infection with the protozoan *Apicystis bombi* (Fürst et al. 2014; Graystock et al. 2016). Although a laboratory study considering the efficacy of proposed natural transmission routes suggested that transmission in the wild may be limited (Gusachenko et al. 2020; Streicher et al. 2023), research has demonstrated spillover from honey bees to bumble bees (Tehel et al. 2022) and a potential introduction with non-native bumble bees (Arbetman et al. 2013). The closely related *Varroa destructor* viruses (VDVs) and kakugo virus (KV) are considered by some to be variants of a DWV species complex (McMahon et al. 2015). Alger et al. (2019) examined spillover of honey bee viruses to wild bumble bees and found DWV and Black Queen Cell Virus (BQCV) to be higher in bumble bees foraging in areas where apiaries were found. Additionally, they confirmed the presence of these viruses on flowers near apiaries, which indicates the potential for spread of bee viruses due to shared flower use in agricultural landscapes where managed bees are most commonly used.

Acute Bee Paralysis Virus (ABPV), Kashmir Bee Virus (KBV), and Israeli Acute Paralysis Virus (IAPV) are closely related and considered strains of the same virus complex (AKI-complex) (Gisder et al. 2009; McMahon et al. 2015). ABPV was the first honey bee virus to be detected in bumble bee hosts, and all bumble bee species tested are susceptible to experimental infection and show

classic symptoms, although its occurrence in natural populations and effect on bumble bee health through natural infection routes are unknown (Bailey & Gibbs 1964). In honey bees, ABPV causes trembling, loss of motor control, and eventual death within a few days of infection (Bailey & Gibbs 1964). ABPV is systemic but found in high concentrations within the salivary glands of honey bees and can be transmitted through pollen, honey, and trophallaxis (Bailey & Gibbs 1964; Benjeddou et al. 2001). The virus is shed in large quantities in feces and remains infectious for months (Bailey & Gibbs 1964).

A recent survey in the United Kingdom found ABPV to be the most common virus detected in bumble bees, and that ABPV was more common in bumble bees than in honey bees collected from the same sites (McMahon et al. 2015). Commercial colonies of *B. impatiens* in Mexico also tested positive for ABPV (Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015). ABPV was detected in wild *B. atratus* in Colombia, though in lower prevalence than other viruses in the screening (Gamboa et al. 2015). Although KBV has been reported from bumble bees in North America and New Zealand, these records are vague and do not include which species were infected (Singh et al. 2010; Ward et al. 2007). However, one colony of commercial *B. impatiens* tested positive for KBV in Mexico (Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015). KBV is detectable in feces, suggesting this may be a relevant infection route for foraging bees sharing floral resources (Hung 2000).

In addition to detection within *Bombus* spp., there is some information on the transmission and virulence of viruses in the AKI-complex for *Bombus*. IAPV causes shivering, paralysis, and death in infected honey bees, with increased mortality in the presence of *Varroa* (Gisder et al. 2009; Palacios et al. 2008). IAPV has been detected in commercially reared *B. impatiens,* and cross-infectivity studies suggest that transmission between honey bees and bumble bees can occur through shared food sources (Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015; Singh et al. 2010). The route of infection may be very important to the virulence of this virus complex. Orally administered IAPV and KBV did not induce mortality in infected *B. terrestris* individuals, but KBV-infected microcolonies suffered slower colony establishment and lower offspring production, with the latter also seen for

IAPV (Meeus et al. 2014). A subsequent study has shown that oral administration can result in acute infections with associated virulence, but at much higher doses (Wang et al. 2018). Another study showed that injections of as few as 20 particles of IAPV into *B. terrestris* caused rapid mortality, with all experimental bees dead after only eight days; in contrast, bees injected with as many as 20,000 particles of another, unrelated virus, Slow Bee Paralysis Virus (SBPV), showed no increase in mortality over control bees (Niu et al. 2016). Yet, SBPV virulence can be condition-dependent, with even orally administered SBPV increasing *B. terrestris* mortality under nutritional limitation (Manley et al. 2015). SBPV has also been detected in bumble bees from the United Kingdom, at a slightly, but non-significantly, higher prevalence than honey bees, whereas IAPV was not detected in either host (McMahon et al. 2015).

In honey bees, Chronic Bee Paralysis Virus (CBPV) is recognizable by the presence of congregations of trembling bees at the hive entrance, yet infections rarely impact colonies unless other stressors, such as overcrowding or nutritional stress, are also present (Allen & Ball 1996). Replicating CBPV has been detected in non-*Apis* organisms, including the mite *Varroa destructor*, and the ant *Camponotus vagus,* which opportunistically feeds on dead honey bees, suggesting a wider host range for this virus than is currently documented (Celle et al. 2008). CBPV was tied with ABPV for the most common virus detected in commercial colonies of *B. impatiens* in Mexico (Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015), and it has also been detected in native bumble bees in Argentina (Fernandez de Landa et al. 2020) and Colombia (Gamboa et al. 2015). Cloudy Wing Virus (CWV, initially described as CW Particle) is a similar, but likely unrelated virus (Bailey et al. 1980). There are few data about the pathology of this virus, even in honey bees. It appears to exist primarily as an asymptomatic infection in honey bees, although under some circumstances, it may cause rapid mortality (Bailey et al. 1980; Carreck et al. 2010). In Korea, the virus has been detected in captive, field-deployed colonies of *B. terrestris* and *B. ignitus*, and may have been an agent of mortality when present in combination with other viruses, such as KBV and Sacbrood virus (SBV) (Choi et al. 2010).

Black Queen Cell Virus (BQCV) is one of the most common honey bee viruses and has been detected in multiple hymenopteran hosts, including ants, wasps, and bees including miner (Andrenidae), sweat (Halictidae), carpenter (Xylocopa; Apidae), leaf-cutting (Megachilidae) and bumble (Bombus; Apidae) bees (Levitt et al. 2013; Peng et al. 2011; Ravoet et al. 2014; Singh et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2012). The distribution of the virus is largely unknown, but, due to its prevalence in honey bees (*e.g.*, 98.5% of sampled honey bees in Pennsylvania (Singh et al. 2010)), it is expected to be widespread. Bumble bees from commercial facilities have been recorded harboring the virus in the United States (Singh et al. 2010), Mexico (Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015), and Argentina (Reynaldi et al. 2013), as have both laboratory-reared and field-caught *B. huntii* in Utah, United States (Peng et al. 2011), and wild bumble bees in Colombia (Gamboa et al. 2015). Replicating BQCV in bumble bees has also been detected in multiple sites across Europe (Radzevičiūtė et al. 2017). Field surveys show that BQCV is common in both honey bees and bumble bees in the United Kingdom (McMahon et al. 2015), but a study in Iowa (United States) detected very few bumble bees with the virus, in spite of high prevalence in apiaries (Dolezal et al. 2016). BQCV has been detected in pollen loads harvested from honey bee workers (Singh et al. 2010), and in wild bumble bees foraging near apiaries (Alger et al. 2019; McNeil et al. 2020). BQCV replicates in the tissues of the midgut of *B. huntii* and is distributed throughout the body, yet infected individuals show no overt symptoms (Peng et al. 2011). In honey bees, infection by BQCV is more detrimental to larvae, with adults only suffering from infection when coinfected with the microsporidian *Vairimorpha apis* (Ball & Bailey 1999). If such age-specific effects of BQCV infection are also present in bumble bees, it may be difficult to assess the presence and effects of BQCV infections, although Salvarrey et al. (2021) were able to detect BQCV in over 90% of *B. pauloensis* workers in the wild.

Sacbrood virus (SBV) is a disease that causes mortality in honey bee larvae. Infected individuals cannot molt and eventually die, leaving distinctive carcasses full of virus-laden ecdysial fluid that are usually removed from the colony by vigilant workers (Bailey 1975). Although the effect of SBV infection on bumble bees is unknown, it has been detected in non-*Apis* hosts on three continents, including in *B. ternarius, B. vagans, B. atratus, Andrena* spp., and the paper wasp *Polistes metricus* (Ravoet et al. 2014; Reynaldi et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2010). The virus can also be detected in pollen collected by foraging honey bees (Singh et al. 2010), suggesting a possible transmission route to captive-reared bumble bees. In a sample of 33 wild bumble bee individuals from Iowa, SBV was the most commonly detected virus of five tested for, with 52% testing positive for SBV (Dolezal et al. 2016). However, there have not been any studies that have tested for replicating strands of SBV or examined the impacts of SBV infection on bumble bees, so the impact of this virus is unknown (Gisder et al. 2009).

Bumble bees have been surveyed for only a few honey bee viruses, yet these pathogens appear common among many species and across a wide geographic range. There will likely be more honey bee viruses detected in bumble bees, given that others, such as *Apis mellifera* Filamentous Virus (AmFV), have been detected in more distantly related solitary bees, such as *Andrena vaga, A. ventralis, Osmia bicornis* and *O. cornuta* (Ravoet et al. 2014). AmFV was recently discovered in native *Bombus* in the Andes (Plischuk et al. 2021). Unraveling the infection dynamics, routes of transmission, and distinct physiological and colony-level effects of these viruses on bumble bee hosts will be necessary to determine the impacts of honey bee viruses on bumble bee hosts (Tehel et al. 2016).

BACTERIA

Little is known about bacterial diseases in bumble bees, but early reports speculated that pathogenic bacteria were responsible for some larval mortality (Frison 1926). More recently there has been a focus on the beneficial effects of core bacteria associated with the gut of Apid bees (Kwong & Moran 2016), and how these microbes may aid in resistance against parasite infection (Koch & Schmid-Hempel 2011a; Koch & Schmid-Hempel 2011b; Mockler et al. 2018). While bacterial diseases of honey bees such as American foulbrood (*Paenibacillus larvae*) and European foulbrood (*Melissococcus plutonius*) can be devastating, there are few homologous reports of bacterial infections in bumble bees (Fünfhaus et al. 2018). Many bacteria that have been found in

bumble bees to date appear to be largely either commensal or beneficial, though further work is warranted on this topic. Bacteria that have been identified from bumble bees include *Bacillus cereus*, *B. pumilus*, *Brevibacillus laterosporus*, *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Enterobacter* (formerly *Aerobacter*) *cloacae*, *Lysinibacillus* (as *Bacillus*) *fusiformis*, *Paenibacillus glucanolyticus*, *Spiroplasma apis* and *S. melliferum* (Ahmed et al. 2007; Macfarlane et al. 1995; Marche et al. 2016; Meeus et al. 2012; Přidal 2001; 2002; Přidal et al. 1997; Schmid-Hempel 1999).

Spiroplasma melliferum and *S. apis* are pathogenic bacteria that are associated with May disease in honey bees and both are known to cause mortality (Clark et al. 1985; Meeus et al. 2012). Although both are normally associated with honey bees, they have been detected on the surface of flowers and within the hemolymph and guts of numerous flower-visiting insects, including *B. impatiens*, *B. pensylvanicus*, *B. pascuorum*, *B. pratorum*, and *B. atratus*, and the leaf-cutting bees *Osmia cornifrons* and *O. bicornis* (Clark et al. 1985; Gamboa et al. 2015; Meeus et al. 2012; Ravoet et al. 2014). The presence of high levels of bacteria, like *Spiroplasma spp.*, in bumble bee guts may indicate their potential as a pathogen in bumble bees (Clark et al. 1985), but this has not been verified. In honey bee queens, *E. cloacae* causes B-melanosis, a disease of the ovaries that sterilizes the queen (Fyg 1964), but its effect in bumble bees is unrecorded (Schmid-Hempel 1999). Bumble bees have rarely been screened for the presence of *Wolbachia*, but there are records of this bacterium being detected in European bumble bee species (Evison et al. 2012; Gerth et al. 2015). The effects of *Wolbachia* on hosts are complex (Werren et al. 2008); it is predominantly vertically transmitted and not always pathogenic. To date, we have no knowledge of the kind of association this bacterium has with bumble bees. Research on impacts of bacterial infections and microbiome studies are urgently needed to understand better how bacteria should be managed in a clean stock program.

PROTOZOANS

The trypanosomatid *Crithidia bombi* is an intestinal parasite found in species throughout the genus *Bombus*, with a worldwide distribution (Schmid-Hempel & Tognazzo 2010). The distribution of this parasite within *Bombus* remains relatively poorly studied and most information on its pathology comes from *B. terrestris* and *B. impatiens*. A close relative, *C. expoeki*, was described from *Bombus* samples collected in both Europe and North America and is assumed to be a similar pathogen (Schmid-Hempel & Tognazzo 2010). In a survey throughout the United States, *C. bombi* was far more common than *C. expoeki* and co-occurred in the same hosts (Tripodi et al. 2018). Similarly, genetic data indicate another undescribed species, nicknamed "*C. mexicana*", that was detected in bumble bee samples from southern Mexico (Gallot-Lavallée et al. 2016), and additional undescribed trypanosomatids in the United States (Tripodi et al. 2018). In the US, *C. bombi* prevalence is highly variable, but can be quite high, for example ranging from 0 - 82 % in Massachusetts (Gillespie 2010). An extensive survey of bumble bees in the USA found *Crithidia* to be widespread, yet at low prevalence across species at the sites sampled (Cordes et al. 2012), however another study found regional variation in infection rates (Tripodi et al. 2018). In addition to in *Bombus*, *C. bombi* has been detected in the non-Apidae hosts *Andrena vaga* and *Osmia bicornis* in Europe (Ravoet et al. 2014), including experimental evidence for active replication in *O. lignaria* and *M. rotundata* (Figueroa et al. 2021; Ngor et al. 2020), though nearly nothing is known about the pathogenicity of *Crithidia* in non-*Bombus* hosts (Figueroa et al. 2021). The honey bee trypanosomatid parasite *Lotmaria passim* has been detected molecularly in bumble bees from the United States, but may not be a true parasite of bumble bees (Tripodi et al. 2018). *Lotmaria passim* has also been found in wild bumble bees in the Andes mountains (Plischuk et al. 2021).

Crithidia parasites are flagellated and are found in the gut lumen of the host bee, anchoring to the ileum epithelium with their flagellum (Koch et al. 2019). Infection in bumble bees can impair the foraging abilities of infected workers (Gegear et al. 2005; Otterstatter et al. 2005), reduce queen hibernation survival (Fauser et al. 2017), and reduce colony founding success (Brown et al. 2003). Although acute mortality is rarely observed (Brown et al. 2003), under conditions of nutritional stress, infected workers are 50% more likely to succumb to infections than their well-fed counterparts (Brown et al. 2000). In general, the

outcomes of infection are considered to be context- and condition-dependent (Sadd & Barribeau 2013).

Crithidia is shed in the feces and can be transmitted through feeding. Experimental evidence shows that bumble bees can contract *C. bombi* infections while feeding on flowers that have been previously visited by infected bees (Adler et al. 2020; Durrer & Schmid-Hempel 1994). Transmission dynamics on flowers vary by plant species and environmental conditions, with deposition and acquisition for foraging *B. impatiens* varying by flower parts, and exposure to UV radiation significantly reducing pathogen survival on flowers (Figueroa et al. 2019). Moreover, differences among plant species in transmission potential for individual *B. impatiens* workers (Adler et al. 2018) can affect colony-level infection patterns (Adler et al. 2020), highlighting the role of flowers in mediating transmission and prevalence in this bumble bee species. However, there is very limited understanding of *C. bombi* transmission patterns via flowers beyond *B. impatiens* and *B. terrestris* (Ruiz-González et al. 2012). Bees from commercial rearing facilities have tested positive for this parasite upon delivery (Gegear et al. 2005; Graystock et al. 2013b; Murray et al. 2013; Otterstatter et al. 2005). Higher infection levels were found in wild bumble bees near greenhouses that had deployed commercial bumble bees than in wild populations far removed from such sites, lending support to the "spillover hypothesis" (Colla et al. 2006; Graystock et al. 2014).

The neogregarine, *Apicystis bombi*, is a widely distributed parasite of multiple bumble bee species (Lipa & Triggiani 1996). In bumble bees, although there are few experimental assessments of virulence, the parasite can have severe effects. *Apicystis bombi* decimates the fat body of infected individuals, and field-collected infected spring queens of European species die before founding colonies (Jones & Brown 2014; Rutrecht & Brown 2008). Commercially sourced colonies of *B. terrestris* were found to harbor this parasite, suggesting a real danger of pathogen spillover of this organism from captive to wild populations (Graystock et al. 2013b). Unlike *Crithidia*, *Apicystis* was not associated with greenhouse sites in a Canadian study, although a study in the United Kingdom did see higher prevalence of both parasites near greenhouse sites (Colla et al. 2006;

Graystock et al. 2014). Population genetics of *A. bombi* from Argentina, Colombia, Mexico, and Europe also suggest that *A. bombi* in Argentina may have originated from the recent importation of non-native *B. terrestris* from Europe to Chile as commercial pollinators (Aizen et al. 2019; Maharramov et al. 2013). However, *B. terrestris* has not been documented in Colombia, thus the high prevalence of *A. bombi* in South America might be due to more complex factors (Gamboa et al. 2015). Feeding experiments show that *A. mellifera* are susceptible to *A. bombi* infections, and this parasite has been infrequently reported from *A. mellifera* in Europe, Japan, and South America (Graystock et al. 2013a; Lipa & Triggiani 1996; Morimoto et al. 2013; Plischuk et al. 2011; Ravoet et al. 2014; Schulz et al. 2019). Additionally, it has been detected in European specimens of *Andrena vaga, A. ventralis, Heriades truncorum, Osmia bicornis,* and *O. cornuta* (Ravoet et al. 2014). *Apicystis cryptica* was recently described from *B. pascuorum* from Belgium (Schoonvaere et al., 2020), but this species has not been reported from bees in the United States (Ivers et al. 2022).

FUNGI

The microsporidian *Vairimorpha* (*Nosema*) *bombi* (Tokarev et al. 2020) has a cosmopolitan distribution (Cameron et al. 2016; Koch & Strange 2012; Li et al. 2011) and is found throughout the genus *Bombus*; however, evidence suggests that some species and/or subgenera are differentially infected (Cameron et al. 2011; Cordes et al. 2012). Furthermore, some declines of bumble species have been linked to presumed epizootic events involving *V. bombi,* including the recent declines of the North American subgenera *Bombus sensu stricto* and *Thoracobombus* (Cameron et al. 2011; Malfi et al. 2014). However, while the incidence of *V. bombi* in North America has increased in recent times, there is currently no evidence to support the hypothesis that contemporary strains of the parasite were exotic or introduced from Europe (Cameron et al. 2016). *Vairimorpha bombi* has frequently been detected in commercially sourced colonies and greenhouse-associated wild populations, but the evidence for spillover remains inconsistent and inconclusive (Colla et al. 2006; Graystock et al. 2013b; Murray et al. 2013; Sachman-Ruiz et al. 2015; Whittington & Winston 2003).

Infections of *V. bombi* occur through the digestive tract, with spores usually concentrated in the Malpighian tubules, the tissues of the midgut and the fat body, although spores can also present in muscles, and the accessory glands, ovaries, accessory testes, and testes of reproductive adults (Larsson 2007; Otti & Schmid-Hempel 2007). Bumble bee colonies that are infected with *V. bombi* can suffer from a reduction in reproductive capacity (van Der Steen, 2008). Mortality is higher in infected males, and the survivors produce fewer viable sperm, while infected gynes exhibit swollen abdomens and are more hesitant to mate than their uninfected counterparts (Otti & Schmid-Hempel 2007). Infections of colonies early in the colony cycle lead to an absence of the production of sexuals (Otti & Schmid-Hempel 2008). However, other studies have found *V. bombi* to have no effect upon colony growth or reproductive output (Whittington & Winston 2003). Much of what is known about the pathology of *V. bombi* infections is from a limited number of species (*B. terrestris* and *B. lucorum*), and species may be differentially affected by the disease (Brown 2017). For example, although infected colonies of *B. lucorum* were less likely to produce gynes, when they were produced, they were fully functional and capable of mating, unlike the gynes produced in *B. terrestris* colonies (Rutrecht & Brown 2009). Recently, *B. impatiens* males were shown to have a high tolerance to experimentally established *V. bombi* infections (Calhoun et al. 2021).

Recent molecular screening of *V. bombi* in wild bee communities across old fields and wildflower strips in upstate NY (USA) found the pathogen to be virtually absent across two years of sampling (Figueroa et al. 2019; Graystock et al. 2020), highlighting that factors that contribute to differing prevalence rates are not sufficiently understood. Conversely, bumble bees in Argentina, Colombia, the United Kingdom, the USA, and Uruguay have regularly tested positive for *V. ceranae* (*Nosema ceranae*), with low/absent prevalence of *V. apis* (*Nosema apis*), (Arbulo et al. 2015; Figueroa et al. 2019; Fürst et al. 2014; Gamboa et al. 2015; Graystock et al. 2014; Graystock et al. 2020; Plischuk et al. 2009); both *V. ceranae* and *V. apis* are infective agents in honey bees. Additionally, *V. ceranae* infections have been confirmed infectious via microscopy in bumble bee hosts from Argentina, Uruguay, and the United

Kingdom (Brown 2017). Experimental feeding experiments with *B. terrestris* have shown that bumble bees are susceptible to *V. ceranae* infection, and that workers suffer increased mortality (Graystock et al. 2013a). Bumble bees in China, Thailand, and Mexico also carried *V. ceranae*, novel strains of *Vairimorpha* that might be undescribed species, and some species of *Vairimorpha* not associated with bee hosts, but the infection status of these novel detections remains unclear (Gallot-Lavallée et al. 2016; Li et al. 2011; Sinpoo et al. 2019). A new genus and species of microsporidian, *Tubulinosema pampeana* was recently described from tissue infections in *B. atratus* hosts from Argentina, and it has also been detected in the same species in Uruguay (Plischuk et al. 2017; Plischuk et al. 2015). The only microsporidians that have been shown to cause true infections in wild bumble bees are *V. bombi*, *V. ceranae*, and *T. pampeana* (Brown, 2017). In addition to *A. mellifera* and *Bombus*, *V. ceranae* has been detected in wild European specimens of *Andrena ventralis*, *Heriades truncorum*, *Osmia bicornis*, and *O. cornuta* (Ravoet et al. 2014), with increasing evidence of active infections in *O. bicornis* (Bramke et al. 2019; Müller et al. 2019). The health impacts of *V. ceranae* on wild bee communities, especially alongside co-occurring stressors, are largely unknown.

There are a few records of ascomycetes fungi infecting bumble bees, but many members of this group are primarily saprophytic and only opportunistically pathogenic, while others are obligate pathogens of bees (Foley et al. 2014; Jensen et al. 2013; Macfarlane 1976). MacFarlane (1976) cultured a number of fungi from living and dead bumble bees, including a species of *Aspergillus*, but did not show that these fungi were capable of causing infection. In honey bees, *Aspergillus* species are the causative agents of stonebrood, a rarely observed larval malady of honey bees (Foley et al. 2014). On the whole, the *Aspergillus* are considered more saprophytic than pathogenic, but many species are capable of infecting immunocompromised hosts (both vertebrate and invertebrate) and some strains have been shown to be fully pathogenic to seemingly healthy honey bees (Foley et al. 2014; Jensen et al. 2013; Leatherdale 1970). The species *Aspergillus candidus* and *A. niger* have been recorded from bumble bee hosts, but their pathogenic roles are unclear (Macfarlane 1976; Schmid-Hempel 1999).

The 28 species of *Ascosphaera* are known as bee specialists and have been described from the nests and larvae of dozens of wild bee species, with all known cases of pathogenic *Ascosphaera* reported from larvae and causing a suite of characteristic symptoms leading to the common name chalkbrood (Wynns et al. 2013). *Ascosphaera apis* is the causative agent of chalkbrood, a larval disease of honey bees, and fungal spores are commonly found in the honey bee-sourced pollen fed to captive bumble bees (e.g., Graystock et al. 2013b; Maxfield-Taylor et al. 2015). However, recent research has reported *A. apis* infecting adult bumble bees in Oregon (United States) (Maxfield-Taylor et al. 2015). In a captive-rearing experiment, the body cavities of wild-caught queens that died prior to producing colonies were filled with vegetative and sporulating *Ascosphaera* species that the authors genetically identified as *A. apis.* Whether or not the fungus was responsible for the death of the queens or whether bumble bee larvae are also susceptible to the disease remains to be seen. However, none of the ascomycetes recorded from bumble bees have been conclusively shown to be pathogenic by satisfying Koch's postulates, so their true status as pathogens in bumble bees is uncertain (Macfarlane 1976).

Experiments to see whether bumble bees could vector the biological control fungus *Beauveria bassiana* throughout greenhouses have shown that, at high doses, the fungus is capable of causing mortality to bees (Kapongo et al. 2007). Similar results were seen in efforts to use bumble bees as vectors of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Smagghe et al. 2013). It is unknown how frequent infections of these fungi are in wild bumble bees, but these two fungi have been isolated from bumble bees in North America (Macfarlane 1976). Yeasts in the genus *Candida* (many now classified as *Metschnikowia*) have been cultured from bumble bees, nests, and flowers, but these are typically considered to be nectar yeasts, and likely only facultatively pathogenic to bees (Batra et al. 1973; Brysch-Herzberg 2004; Macfarlane 1976). There are other sporadic records of entomopathogenic fungi associated with bumble bees, including *Hirsutella* sp., *Acrostalagmus* sp., *Lecanicillium* (formerly *Cephalosporium* or *Verticilium*) *lecanii, Geomyces* (formerly *Chryososporium*) *pannorum, Parascedosporium* (formerly *Doratomyces*) *putredinis, Penicillium* sp., and *Isaria* (formerly *Paecilomyces*)

farinosus (Batra et al. 1973; Goulson 2010; Macfarlane 1976; Schmid-Hempel 1999; Zimmermann 2008). An unidentified mass of hyphal growth was also described infecting the gut tissue of living adult bumble bees collected in Illinois and Oregon (United States), but the identity of this fungus remains unknown (Kissinger et al. 2011).

NEMATODES

The nematode *Sphaerularia bombi* has a worldwide distribution with infection records in dozens of bumble bee species from North America, South America, Europe and New Zealand (Colgan et al. 2020; Goldblatt & Fell 1984; Lubbock 1861; Lundberg & Svensson 1975; Macfarlane & Griffin 1990; McCorquodale et al. 1998; Plischuk & Lange 2012; Poinar & Van Der Laan 1972). This parasite exclusively infects bumble bee queens, and upon infection, the queen is effectively sterilized. Although infected queens may live as long as uninfected queens (Macfarlane et al. 1995), they do not initiate nests upon emergence, but rather resume hibernaculum-seeking behavior (Alford 1969). Because infection with this parasite prevents queens from initiating colonies, it has the potential to impact populations severely.

Mated *S. bombi* females infect bumble bee queens as they overwinter in soil cells. They develop within the hemocoel of the host throughout the winter, maturing upon bumble bee emergence in spring. Mature, gravid females control the corpora allata of host queens, suppressing chemical signals that allow uninfected queens to mature and seek nesting sites upon emergence (Macfarlane & Griffin 1990). Each female can produce over 100,000 eggs, which are released and hatch in the hemocoel of the host queen (Macfarlane & Griffin 1990). At the third stage, juvenile nematodes burrow into the midgut of the host. These juveniles are subsequently excreted into shallow pits in the soil excavated by the infected host queen, where they will mature and wait for the next generation of overwintering queens (Poinar & Van Der Laan 1972). Because the nematodes drop into the soil to await transmission to the next generation of queens, *S. bombi* is not expected to be a pest of captive-reared bumble bees.

There are few records of mermithid parasites in bumble bee hosts, but they are geographically

widespread, with records from North America, South America, Europe, and Asia (Durrer & Schmidhempel 1995; Kosaka et al. 2012; Kubo et al. 2016; MacLean 1966; Mullins et al. 2019; Plischuk et al. 2017; Rao et al. 2017; Tripodi & Strange 2018). Because the parasitic stages of mermithids are devoid of morphological characters that would allow their identification, the identity of these parasites is largely unknown. One record of a mermithid infecting a *B. impatiens* worker collected in Massachusetts (United States) was identified to the genus *Pheromermis,* but nothing is known of its life history or whether bumble bees are its primary host (Rao et al. 2017). Like *S. bombi,* these parasites require a free-living stage in the soil, so they are unlikely to present an issue in rearing facilities. Mermithids kill their hosts upon exiting the host's body, but with so few occurrences, they are unlikely to have an impact on the population level (Tripodi & Strange 2018).

2) DETECTION, IDENTIFICATION, AND QUANTIFICATION

GENERAL TECHNIQUES USED TO DETECT AND QUANTIFY ENDOSYMBIONTS OF CONCERN

Detection of bumble bee parasites falls into two major categories: molecular methods or visual methods. Most parasite detection is destructive, requiring that bees be killed prior to examination. However, mature or transmitting infections of some parasites, including *S. bombi, Vairimorpha* spp., *Crithidia* spp., and *A. bombi,* can be visually detected in feces, a non-lethal technique (Jones & Brown 2014). For some parasites, quantification of individual parasites in feces provides an accurate estimation of the intensity of the established infection, *e.g.,* for *Crithidia* (Sadd 2011). However, such a relationship has not been verified for all observable parasites detectable in the feces, and false negatives may occur during early stages of infection. In addition, low numbers of parasite transmission stages may represent false positives, where transmission stages, *e.g.,* environmentally resistant extracellular *Vairimorpha* spores, are just passing through and are not from established infections. This presents an issue for any analysis where gut tissue is included and is a potential issue in both visual and molecular detection approaches. However, in closed systems, such as rearing facilities, detection of parasites and pathogens in the feces will likely represent actual infections. Although tissues of the head and mesosoma can be

infected, all known parasites can be detected by examination of the tissues and hemocoel of the metasoma. Different parasites are typically detected using different techniques, but these are often complementary. Larger organisms such as nematodes are visible with light microscopy during dissection under low magnification (10–40x). This is often followed by an examination of slide-mounted tissues or homogenates at higher magnification (400x) to detect smaller organisms (*e.g.,* oocytes of *Apicystis cryptica*: Schoonvaere et al. 2020). Finally, molecular methods can be used to detect, identify, and quantify parasites of all sizes from tissue extractions.

Before the development of molecular detection techniques, visual detection with light microscopy was the predominant mode of screening for internal bumble bee parasites. Light microscopy allows for the detection of parasites at 400x magnification, encompassing a broad diversity of organisms. To this day, microscopy continues to be employed in the detection and quantification of bumble bee parasites via the count of spores or cells using a hemocytometer (Fries et al. 2013). Some of the strengths of light microscopy include that it is low-cost, requires little training to employ, and most importantly, it can detect active infections through tissue pathology. However, there is a risk for false negatives as low-level or early stage infections can be missed, suggesting that traditional light microscopy may underestimate parasite prevalence (Blaker et al. 2014). False positives are also possible, especially for less-experienced researchers who are not fully aware of target parasite morphologies. In addition, many pathogens are tissue-specific, thus requiring the correct tissue to be examined for diagnosis (Schmid-Hempel 1999). However, the primary benefit of visual detection is the ability to diagnose disease and disease intensity, rather than just the presence of a potential disease-causing organism. In all cases it is preferable that known positive samples be observed under the set-up being used, to ensure accurate identification and verify the ability of the set-up to detect parasites and pathogens of interest. For example, *Crithidia* spp. require phase contrast microscopy for good visualization. However, even then, detection by observers unfamiliar with cell morphology will be aided by using fresh samples where some cells will be motile.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), developed in 1985, is the most commonly employed molecular technique for DNA amplification, and it has been used to great effect to detect parasites in both bumble bees (Blaker et al. 2014; Cordes et al. 2012; Huang et al. 2015; Koch & Strange 2012) and humans (Yang & Rothman 2004). This method uses short oligonucleotides, primers, that are designed to hybridize with known genetic regions within the genomes of targeted organisms. Samples that fail to amplify are diagnosed as negative, and samples that successfully amplify are diagnosed as positive for the targeted parasite. Including control regions that amplify bee DNA or cDNA in PCR is a common quality control measure used to guard against false negatives that can come about through poor specimen handling, nucleotide extraction or bad reactions. Positive controls should also be included in PCR to ensure viability of reactions. Similarly, the use of negative controls that contain no DNA template can help guard against false positives that usually stem from laboratory contaminants. With the development of primers for multiple targets that do not interfere with one another during thermal cycling, PCR can be multiplexed for the detection of multiple pathogens simultaneously (Huang et al. 2015; Procop 2007; Tripodi et al. 2018). One of the strengths of PCR is that it can be used to detect presence or absence of parasites at very low intensities or in small sample volumes. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) goes a step further, amplifying and detecting the target sequence simultaneously and, if properly calibrated, yielding a quantitative measure of infection intensity. For screening RNA viruses, reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) is used, which converts RNA to its complementary DNA strand (cDNA), which is then used as template in PCR (de Miranda et al. 2013). Standardized protocols for PCR-based detection of a variety of common bee pathogens have recently been released (de Miranda et al. 2021).

In a double-blind methods comparison, PCR was found to have an overall higher sensitivity for detecting human-pathogenic microsporidia compared to traditional light microscopy, though both methods proved effective (Rinder et al. 1998). Likewise, Blaker et al. (2014) found significantly higher sensitivity for detecting microsporidia in bumble bees than light microscopy detected.

However, increased sensitivity is not always desirable. PCR methods do not distinguish between exposure and infection, and dead or inactivated parasites may still yield positive results. Such sensitive methods can diagnose samples as positive, regardless of the true infection status within the host, thus positive PCR results should be interpreted with this caveat in mind (Brown 2017). PCR, qPCR, and RT-PCR assays can be designed to use either species-specific or broad-range primers that can detect multiple members of a targeted taxon, depending on the desired identification level (Graystock et al. 2020; Mullins et al. 2019; Procop 2007; Yang & Rothman 2004). While broad-range primers allow for the discovery of new organisms within a targeted taxon, one of the major drawbacks of all primer-based detection techniques is that the researcher will only detect organisms or groups that are being targeted, and that detection is limited to parasites for which sequence data are available. However, post-amplification analysis of PCR products from broad-range primers through DNA sequencing can be used to identify parasites to species, generate additional data, and conduct analyses of strain differences that can be useful in understanding disease dynamics (Cameron et al. 2016).

Current advances in molecular technologies, known as next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms, are beginning to allow for pathogen screening and sequencing through exploratory metagenomics (Gerth & Hurst 2017; Runckel et al. 2011). Exploratory work with the RNA-Seq platform recently detected a number of known bumble bee-associated organisms in two bumble bee species, as well as two undescribed viruses in *O. cornuta* (Schoonvaere et al. 2016). However, the success of these NGS techniques depends on the existence of reference databases, such as well-curated sequence deposits, knowledge of the pathology and natural history of the symbionts detected and identified, as well as the technical ability to process, analyze, and interpret the data (de Magalhães et al. 2010). As the use of these methods increases, and databases of pathogen sequences expand, NGS could provide unexplored levels of pathogen screening abilities for bumble bee research. However, despite their significant value in these regards, NGS approaches would currently be unfeasible for a rapid and high-

throughput clean stock screening program, where targeted visual or molecular approaches of known parasites and pathogens of concern will be more effective.

VIRUSES

Because of their small size (typically 20-30 nm (James & Li 2012)), viruses are not visible with basic microscopy and are primarily detected through molecular methods (de Miranda et al. 2013). Using RT-PCR, specific primers can be employed to determine the presence of a virus, and the viral load can be quantified using calibrated qRT-PCR (e.g., McMahon et al. 2015). In addition, it is possible to run a multiplex RT-PCR and screen for multiple RNA viruses simultaneously (Chen et al. 2004). However, detecting the presence of a virus is not equivalent to detecting a viral infection. An advantage to the structure of many ss-RNA viruses is that it is possible to screen for their complementary strand, which, if found, indicates active replication within the host (de Miranda et al. 2013; Mazzei et al. 2014). This is not possible for DNA-based parasites.

BACTERIA

Not all bacteria can be cultured on standard media (Přidal 2001; Shrivastava 1982) and in addition, while some can be easily viewed using standard microscopy approaches, the morphological delineation of bacterial pathogens is difficult. Therefore, molecular methods are commonly used for detection of bacteria, such as *Spiroplasma apis* and *S. melliferum* (Meeus et al. 2012). Often, culture-based and molecular methods are used in conjunction with one another in order to determine physical and chemical characteristics, experiment with inoculation and host specificity, and resolve taxonomic issues (Kwong et al. 2014; Kwong & Moran 2013; Praet et al. 2018).

PROTOZOANS

The infective oocyst of neogregarines and the motile stages of trypanosomatids can be detected through microscopic examination of tissues, tissue homogenates, or fecal samples at 400x. However, these organisms have complex life cycles with cryptic vegetative growth phases that can be easily missed by microscopy, making molecular detection methods more reliable. The gross morphology of some protozoans makes their identification to broad groups rather simple, but discerning species morphologically is impossible under typical magnification. Morphological differences that separate species can be seen with scanning electron microscopy and other specialized equipment (Liu et al. 1974; Schmid-Hempel & Tognazzo 2010). *Crithidia* spp. are quite small, typically less than 10 μm long in all stages, and some stages are highly mobile and visible when alive (Schmid-Hempel & Tognazzo 2010). It is important to note that while *C. bombi* has three distinct morphological stages (amastigote: spherical form with no visible flagellum; choanomastigote: pear-like shape surrounding flagellar pocket; and promastigote: large cells with long flagellum (Logan et al. 2005; Ruiz-González & Brown 2006)), the vast majority of screening efforts via microscopy focus on the promastigote stage, potentially under-reporting infections of the other morphological stages. Spores of neogregarines are larger, 21–27 μm, and are easily visible at 400x (Liu et al. 1974). Infections can be quantified by counting oocysts in a hemocytometer (Human et al. 2013). Broad-range primers have been developed to detect trypanosomes, including *Crithidia* spp., as well as neogregarines, including *Apicystis bombi* (Meeus et al. 2010; Mullins et al. 2020; Schmid-Hempel & Tognazzo 2010). In preliminary screening, a broad-range primer may be used, then positives can be sequenced and identified (Gallot-Lavallée et al. 2016). Broadly screening and sequencing positive samples may maximize the probability of detecting potential pathogens, for groups that are likely to contain unexpected or undescribed species, such as *Crithidia*. A similar approach uses species-specific primers coupled with broad-range primers, allowing for the detection of unexpected species (Stevanovic et al. 2016; Szalanski et al. 2016; Tripodi et al. 2018).

FUNGI

Similar to other spore-producing pathogens, visual detection of microsporidian spores at 400x is common and spore intensities can be assessed in slide-mounted tissues, homogenized gut samples, or feces smeared onto a hemocytometer (Human et al. 2013). The infective spores are the most readily distinguishable life stage of the microsporidia, as vegetative intracellular growth is cryptic and often undetectable by microscopy; however, methanol

fixation and Giemsa staining can reveal these growth stages within tissue (Fries 1988). Spores of most bee-infecting microsporidia species are highly refractive in phase contrast microscopy and approximately 5 μm long. By scanning multiple visual fields at an appropriate magnification (*e.g.,* 400x), repeated spore counts can be used to quantify infection levels as a concentration of spores per milliliter of homogenized tissue (Human et al. 2013) or categorized on a relative scale, such as the one used by Cordes et al. (2012) for microsporidia: low infection when <2 spores, moderate when 2-20 spores, and high infection >20 spores/ visual field (Cordes et al. 2012; Human et al. 2013). Distinguishing different species or even genera of microsporidia using light microscopy can prove difficult as the gross morphology of spores is similar across the group, although species-specific tissue pathology has been noted (Plischuk et al. 2015). PCR has higher resolution for detecting and distinguishing different microsporidia species, and species-specific primers have been developed for *V. apis, V. ceranae,* and *V. bombi* (Blaker et al. 2014; Erler et al. 2012; Graystock et al. 2020; Klee et al. 2006). Microscopy and PCR are often used in combination to maximize probability of detection while also assessing presence and intensity of sporulating infections, and are therefore complementary approaches (Blaker et al. 2014; Calhoun et al. 2021).

Entomopathogenic fungi with hyphal growth, such as chalkbrood (*Ascosphera* spp.), are uncommon in bumble bees and usually detected visually, based on the presence of hyphae in the abdominal cavity and the tissues of the alimentary tract (Kissinger et al. 2011; Macfarlane 1976; Maxfield-Taylor et al. 2015). Chalkbrood produces visible hyphae that cover the bee carcass in late stages of infection, but this pathology has only been seen in larval infections of non-*Bombus* bees (Schmid-Hempel 1999). Detection in bumble bees could include visual inspection via microscopy at low magnification (10–40x), examination of slide-mounted tissues at higher magnification (200–400x), culturing and isolation for morphological identification of reproductive structures, as well as molecular screening using broad-range or specific primer pairs (James & Skinner 2005; Macfarlane 1976; Maxfield-Taylor et al. 2015).

NEMATODES

Due to their relatively large size, bumble bee-associated nematodes can be detected during dissections of the metasoma at low magnification (10–40x). *Sphaerularia bombi* is the most commonly encountered nematode parasite in bumble bees, although it is primarily restricted to queens (Alford 1975; Macfarlane et al. 1995). The 8–20 mm long cucumber-like inverted uterus of a mature female worm in the abdomen of the host is readily identified through dissection (Alford 1969; Plischuk & Lange 2012). Juveniles and eggs of *S. bombi* can also be detected in the feces of bees and quantified via a hemocytometer (Jones & Brown 2014). Mermithids are rarely recorded parasitizing bumble bees, but are often large (*e.g.,* 46 mm in length) and easily detected during dissections at low magnification (Rao et al. 2017). The parasitic stages of mermithids lack the morphological characters to distinguish species, thus molecular characterization is recommended (Kubo et al. 2016; Tripodi & Strange 2018).

DISCUSSION

It is apparent that bumble bees have a considerable number of endosymbionts, which can be benign or cause sublethal or lethal pathology to their hosts. We now have techniques for detection and identification of most of these endosymbionts, yet pathologies are understudied and the impacts of detected pathogens are often unknown, particularly in diverse bumble bee host species beyond the relatively well-studied *B. impatiens* and *B. terrestris* (Cameron & Sadd 2020). It is likely that many species and strains remain to be described, and there is insufficient effort devoted to monitoring their populations in the wild. We have strong evidence that the commercial trade, both national and international, that has developed in bumble bees for use in crop pollination has facilitated the dispersal of many of these endosymbionts to non-native ranges, including around the world, and their introduction (through spillover) to wild bees. Spillover from honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) to bumble bees may also be a significant source of infection (Alger et al. 2019; Nanetti et al. 2021; Pislak Ocepek et al. 2021).

As we have pointed out, there are many examples of knowledge gaps on the topic of bumble bee endosymbionts of concern, with many

recent discoveries. Some have been facilitated by the development of new analytical techniques, such as molecular screening. As additional surveys utilizing these techniques are conducted it is likely that additional species will be discovered, and that we will learn more about their geographical distributions. Just as plans are developing for national monitoring program for native bees (Woodard et al. 2020), plans should be laid for monitoring the distribution, diversity, and abundance of their parasites and pathogens.

Endosymbionts are only one category of parasites and pathogens that can affect bumble bees, and we address elsewhere the ectosymbionts that can also infect them (Evans et al. 2023), as well as the potential risk that hive products such as wax and pollen pose to wild bumble bee and other pollinators. Together, this large number of bumble bee symbionts, in the context of a large and growing national and international commercial trade in these important pollinators, demonstrates the need for regulations that will help to prevent their spread, and the associated risk to wild pollinators (Strange et al. 2023).

APPENDICES

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Appendix I: Symbiont list identifying potentially deleterious symbionts of concern for clean stock and commercial bumble bee rearing.

REFERENCES

Adler LS, Barber NA, Biller OM, Irwin RE (2020) Flowering plant composition shapes pathogen infection intensity and reproduction in bumble bee colonies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117: 11559-11565. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2000074117.

Adler LS, Michaud KM, Ellner SP, McArt SH, Stevenson PC, Irwin RE (2018) Disease where you dine: plant species and floral traits associated with pathogen transmission in bumble bees. Ecology 99: 2535-2545. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2503.

Ahmed I, Yokota A, Yamazoe A, Fujiwara T (2007) Proposal of *Lysinibacillus boronitolerans* gen. nov. sp. nov., and transfer of *Bacillus fusiformis* to *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* comb. nov. and *Bacillus sphaericus* to *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* comb. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 57: 1117-1125. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63867-0.

Aizen MA, Smith-Ramírez C, Morales CL, Vieli L, Sáez A, Barahona-Segovia RM, Arbetman MP, Montalva J, Garibaldi LA, Inouye DW, Harder LD (2019) Coordinated species importation policies are needed to reduce serious invasions globally: The case of alien bumblebees in South America. Journal of Applied Ecology 56: 100-106. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13121.

Alford DV (1969) *Sphaerularia bombi* as a parasite of bumble bees in England. Journal of Apicultural Research 8: 49-54. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.1969.11100217.

Alford DV (1975) Bumblebees. Davis-Poynter, London.

Alger SA, Burnham PA, Boncristiani HF, Brody AK (2019) RNA virus spillover from managed honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) to wild bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.). PLOS ONE 14: e0217822. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217822.

Allen M, Ball B (1996) The incidence and world distribution of honey bee viruses. Bee World 77: 141-162. https://doi.org/10.1080/0005772X.1996.11099306.

Arbetman MP, Gleiser G, Morales CL, Williams P, Aizen MA (2017) Global decline of bumblebees is phylogenetically structured and inversely related to species range size and pathogen incidence. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284: 20170204. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0204.

Arbetman MP, Meeus I, Morales CL, Aizen MA, Smagghe G (2013) Alien parasite hitchhikes to Patagonia on invasive bumblebee. Biological Invasions 15: 489-494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-012-0311-0.

Arbulo N, Antúnez K, Salvarrey S, Santos E, Branchiccela B, Martín-Hernández R, Higes M, Invernizzi C (2015) High prevalence and infection levels of *Nosema ceranae* in bumblebees *Bombus atratus* and *Bombus bellicosus* from Uruguay. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 130: 165-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.07.018.

Arismendi N, Riveros G, Zapata N, Smagghe G, González C, Vargas M (2021) Occurrence of bee viruses and pathogens associated with emerging infectious diseases in native and non-native bumble bees in

southern Chile. Biological Invasions 23: 1175-1189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02428-w.

Bailey L (1975) Recent research on honeybee viruses. Bee World 56: 55-64. https://doi.org/10.1080/0005772X.1975.11097544.

Bailey L, Ball BV, Carpenter JM, Woods RD (1980) Small virus-like particles in honey bees associated with Chronic Paralysis Virus and with a previously undescribed disease. Journal of General Virology 46: 149-155. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-46-1-149.

Bailey L, Gibbs J (1964) Acute infection of bees with paralysis virus. Journal of Insect Pathology 6: 395-407.

Ball BV, Bailey L (1999) Honey bee viruses: Encyclopedia of Virology (ed. by G A. & RG Webster) Elsevier, pp. 743-749.

Batra LR, Batra SWT, Bohart GE (1973) The mycoflora of domesticated and wild bees (Apoidea). Mycopathologia et mycologia applicata 49: 13-44. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02057445.

Beaurepaire A, Piot N, Doublet V, Antunez K, Campbell E, Chantawannakul P, Chejanovsky N, Gajda A, Heerman M, Panziera D, Smagghe G, Yañez O, de Miranda JR, Dalmon A (2020) Diversity and hglobal distribution of viruses of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. Insects 11: 239. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11040239

Benjeddou M, Leat N, Allsopp M, Davison S (2001) Detection of Acute Bee Paralysis Virus and Black Queen Cell Virus from honeybees by reverse transcriptase PCR. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67: 2384-2387. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.5.2384-2387.2001.

Blaker EA, Strange JP, James RR, Monroy FP, Cobb NS (2014) PCR reveals high prevalence of non/low sporulating *Nosema bombi* (microsporidia) infections in bumble bees (*Bombus*) in Northern Arizona. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 123: 25-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.09.001.

Bramke K, Müller U, McMahon DP, Rolff J (2019) Exposure of larvae of the solitary bee *Osmia bicornis* to the honey bee pathogen *Nosema ceranae* affects life history. Insects 10: 380. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10110380

Brown MJF (2017) Microsporidia: An emerging threat to bumblebees? Trends in Parasitology 33: 754-762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2017.06.001.

Brown MJF, Loosli R, Schmid-Hempel P (2000) Condition-dependent expression of virulence in a trypanosome infecting bumblebees. Oikos 91: 421-427. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.910302.x.

Brown MJF, Moret Y, Schmid-Hempel P (2003) Activation of host constitutive immune defence by an intestinal trypanosome parasite of bumble bees.

Parasitology 126: 253-260. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182002002755.

Brysch-Herzberg M (2004) Ecology of yeasts in plant–bumblebee mutualism in Central Europe. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 50: 87-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.06.003.

Calhoun AC, Harrod AE, Bassingthwaite TA, Sadd BM (2021) Testing the multiple stressor hypothesis: chlorothalonil exposure alters transmission potential of a bumblebee pathogen but not individual host health. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 288: 20202922. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2922.

Cameron SA, Lim HC, Lozier JD, Duennes MA, Thorp R (2016) Test of the invasive pathogen hypothesis of bumble bee decline in North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113: 4386-4391. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525266113.

Cameron SA, Lozier JD, Strange JP, Koch JB, Cordes N, Solter LF, Griswold TL (2011) Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108: 662-667. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1014743108.

Cameron SA, Sadd BM (2020) Global trends in bumble bee health. Annual Review of Entomology 65: 209-232. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011118-111847.

Carreck NL, Ball BV, Martin SJ (2010) The epidemiology of cloudy wing virus infections in honey bee colonies in the UK. Journal of Apicultural Research 49: 66-71. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.49.1.09.

Celle O, Blanchard P, Olivier V, Schurr F, Cougoule N, Faucon J-P, Ribière M (2008) Detection of Chronic bee paralysis virus (CBPV) genome and its replicative RNA form in various hosts and possible ways of spread. Virus Research 133: 280-284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2007.12.011.

Chen Y, Zhao Y, Hammond J, Hsu H-t, Evans J, Feldlaufer M (2004) Multiple virus infections in the honey bee and genome divergence of honey bee viruses. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 87: 84-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2004.07.005.

Choi Y, Lee M, Hong I, Kim N, Kim H, Byeon K, Yoon H (2010) Detection of honeybee virus from bumblebee (*Bombus terrestris* L. and *Bombus ignitus*) Korean Journal of Apiculture 25: 259-266.

Clark TB (1982) Entomopoxvirus-like particles in three species of bumblebees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 39: 119-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(82)90168-9.

Clark TB, Whitcomb RF, Tully JG, Mouches C, Saillard C, Bové, J. M., Wróblewski H, Carle P, Rose DL, Henegar RB, Williamson DL (1985) *Spiroplasma melliferum*, a new species from the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). International Journal of Systematic and

Evolutionary Microbiology 35: 296-308. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-35-3-296.

Colgan TJ, Carolan JC, Sumner S, Blaxter ML, Brown MJF (2020) Infection by the castrating parasitic nematode *Sphaerularia bombi* changes gene expression in *Bombus terrestris* bumblebee queens. Insect Molecular Biology 29: 170-182. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12618.

Colla SR, Otterstatter MC, Gegear RJ, Thomson JD (2006) Plight of the bumble bee: Pathogen spillover from commercial to wild populations. Biological Conservation 129: 461-467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.11.013

Cordes N, Huang W-F, Strange JP, Cameron SA, Griswold TL, Lozier JD, Solter LF (2012) Interspecific geographic distribution and variation of the pathogens *Nosema bombi* and *Crithidia* species in United States bumble bee populations. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 109: 209-216. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.11.005.

de Magalhães JP, Finch CE, Janssens G (2010) Next-generation sequencing in aging research: Emerging applications, problems, pitfalls and possible solutions. Ageing Research Reviews 9: 315-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2009.10.006.

de Miranda JR, Bailey L, Ball BV, Blanchard P, Budge GE, Chejanovsky N, Chen Y-P, Gauthier L, Genersch E, de Graaf DC, Ribière M, Ryabov E, De Smet L, van der Steen JJM (2013) Standard methods for virus research in *Apis mellifera*. Journal of Apicultural Research 52: 1-56. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.22.

de Miranda JR, Meeus I, Yañez O, Piot N, Jara L, Onorati P, De la Rúa P, Nielsen A, Tlak-Gajger I, Özgör E, Dalmon A, Gajda A, Neumann P, Smagghe G, Paxton RJ (2021) Protocols for assessing the distribution of pathogens in individual Hymenopteran pollinators: Protocol Exchange https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.pex-1453/v1

Dolezal AG, Hendrix SD, Scavo NA, Carrillo-Tripp J, Harris MA, Wheelock MJ, O'Neal ME, Toth AL (2016) Honey bee viruses in wild bees: Viral prevalence, loads, and experimental inoculation. PLOS ONE 11: e0166190. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166190

Durrer S, Schmid-Hempel P (1994) Shared use of flowers leads to horizontal pathogen transmission. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 258: 299-302. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1994.0176

Durrer S, Schmidhempel P (1995) Parasites and the regional distribution of bumblebee species. Ecography 18: 114-122. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.1995.tb00331.x

Erler S, Lommatzsch S, Lattorff HMG (2012) Comparative analysis of detection limits and specificity of molecular diagnostic markers for three pathogens (Microsporidia, *Nosema* spp.) in the key

pollinators *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus terrestris*. Parasitology Research 110: 1403-1410. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2640-9.

Evans EC, Strange JP, Sadd BM, Tripodi AD, Figueroa LL, Adams LD, Colla SR, Duennes MA, Lehmann DM, Moylett H, Richardson L, Smith JW, Smith TA, Spevak EM, Inouye DW (2023) Parasites, parasitoids, and hive products that are potentially deleterious to wild and commercially raised bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) in North America. Journal of Pollination Ecology 33(3): 37-52. https://doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603(2023)710.

Evison SEF, Roberts KE, Laurenson L, Pietravalle S, Hui J, Biesmeijer JC, Smith JE, Budge G, Hughes WOH (2012) Pervasiveness of parasites in pollinators. PLOS ONE 7: e30641. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030641.

Fauser A, Sandrock C, Neumann P, Sadd BM (2017) Neonicotinoids override a parasite exposure impact on hibernation success of a key bumblebee pollinator. Ecological Entomology 42: 306-314. https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12385.

Fernandez de Landa G, Revainera P, Brasesco C, di Gerónimo V, Plischuk S, Meroi F, Maggi M, Eguaras M, Quintana S (2020) Chronic bee paralysis virus (CBPV) in South American non-*Apis* bees. Archives of Virology 165: 2053-2056. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-020-04697-1.

Figueroa LL, Blinder M, Grincavitch C, Jelinek A, Mann EK, Merva LA, Metz LE, Zhao AY, Irwin RE, McArt SH, Adler LS (2019) Bee pathogen transmission dynamics: deposition, persistence and acquisition on flowers. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 286: 20190603. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0603.

Figueroa LL, Grincavitch C, McArt SH (2021) Crithidia bombi can infect two solitary bee species while host survivorship depends on diet. Parasitology 148: 435-442. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020002218.

Foley K, Fazio G, Jensen AB, Hughes WOH (2014) The distribution of *Aspergillus* spp. opportunistic parasites in hives and their pathogenicity to honey bees. Veterinary Microbiology 169: 203-210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.11.029.

Fries I (1988) Comb replacement and Nosema disease (*Nosema apis* Z.) in honey bee colonies. Apidologie 19: 343-354.

Fries I, Chauzat M-P, Chen Y-P, Doublet V, Genersch E, Gisder S, Higes M, McMahon DP, Martín-Hernández R, Natsopoulou M, Paxton RJ, Tanner G, Webster TC, Williams GR (2013) Standard methods for *Nosema* research. Journal of Apicultural Research 52: 1-28. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.1.14.

Frison TH (1926) Contribution to the knowledge of the interrelations of the bumblebees of Illinois with their animate environment. Annals of the Entomological

Society of America 19: 203-235. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/19.2.203.

Fünfhaus A, Ebeling J, Generisch E (2018) Bacterial pathogens of bees. Current Opinion in Insect Science 26: 89-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.02.008.

Fürst MA, McMahon DP, Osborne JL, Paxton RJ, Brown MJF (2014) Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators. Nature 506: 364-366. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12977.

Fyg W (1964) Anomalies and diseases of the queen honey bee. Annual Review of Entomology 9: 207-224. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.09.010164.001231.

Gallot-Lavallée M, Schmid-Hempel R, Vandame R, Vergara CH, Schmid-Hempel P (2016) Large scale patterns of abundance and distribution of parasites in Mexican bumblebees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 133: 73-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.12.004.

Gamboa V, Ravoet J, Brunain M, Smagghe G, Meeus I, Figueroa J, Riaño D, de Graaf DC (2015) Bee pathogens found in Bombus atratus from Colombia: A case study. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 129: 36-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.05.013.

Gegear RJ, Otterstatter MC, Thomson JD (2005) Does parasitic infection impair the ability of bumblebees to learn flower-handling techniques? Animal Behaviour 70: 209-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.09.025

Generisch E, Yue C, Fries I, de Miranda JR (2006) Detection of Deformed wing virus, a honey bee viral pathogen, in bumble bees (Bombus terrestris and Bombus pascuorum) with wing deformities. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 91: 61-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2005.10.002.

Gerth M, Hurst GDD (2017) Short reads from honey bee (Apis sp.) sequencing projects reflect microbial associate diversity. PeerJ 5: e3529. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3529.

Gerth M, Saeed A, White JA, Bleidorn C (2015) Extensive screen for bacterial endosymbionts reveals taxon-specific distribution patterns among bees (Hymenoptera, Anthophila). FEMS Microbiology Ecology 91. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiv047.

Gillespie S (2010) Factors affecting parasite prevalence among wild bumblebees. Ecological Entomology 35: 737-747. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2010.01234.x.

Gisder S, Aumeier P, Generisch E (2009) Deformed wing virus: replication and viral load in mites (Varroa destructor). Journal of General Virology 90: 463-467. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.005579-0.

Gisder S, Generisch E (2016) Viruses of commercialized insect pollinators. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 147: 51-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2016.07.010.

Goldblatt JW, Fell RD (1984) Parasites and parasitization rates in bumble bee queens, Bombus spp. (Hymenoptera: Apidae), in southwestern Virginia. Environmental Entomology 13: 1661-1665. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/13.6.1661.

Goulson D (2010) Bumblebees: Behaviour, Ecology, and Conservation. 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Graves TA, Janousek WM, Gaulke SM, Nicholas AC, Keinath DA, Bell CM, Cannings S, Hatfield RG, Heron JM, Koch JB, Lofland HL, Richardson LL, Rohde AT, Rykken J, Strange JP, Tronstad LM, Sheffield CS (2020) Western bumble bee: declines in the continental United States and range-wide information gaps. Ecosphere 11: e03141. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3141.

Graystock P, Goulson D, Hughes WOH (2014) The relationship between managed bees and the prevalence of parasites in bumblebees. PeerJ 2: e522. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.522.

Graystock P, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Goulson D, Hughes WOH (2016) The effects of single and mixed infections of Apicystis bombi and deformed wing virus in Bombus terrestris. Parasitology 143: 358-365. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182015001614.

Graystock P, Ng WH, Parks K, Tripodi AD, Muñiz PA, Fersch AA, Myers CR, McFrederick QS, McArt SH (2020) Dominant bee species and floral abundance drive parasite temporal dynamics in plant-pollinator communities. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4: 1358-1367. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1247-x.

Graystock P, Yates K, Darvill B, Goulson D, Hughes WOH (2013a) Emerging dangers: Deadly effects of an emergent parasite in a new pollinator host. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 114: 114-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2013.06.005.

Graystock P, Yates K, Evison SEF, Darvill B, Goulson D, Hughes WOH (2013b) The Trojan hives: pollinator pathogens, imported and distributed in bumblebee colonies. Journal of Applied Ecology 50: 1207-1215. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12134.

Gusachenko ON, Woodford L, Balbirnie-Cumming K, Ryabov EV, Evans DJ (2020) Evidence for and against deformed wing virus spillover from honey bees to bumble bees: a reverse genetic analysis. Scientific Reports 10: 16847. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73809-3.

Huang W-F, Skyrm K, Ruiter R, Solter L (2015) Disease management in commercial bumble bee mass rearing, using production methods, multiplex PCR detection techniques, and regulatory assessment. Journal of Apicultural Research 54: 516-524. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2016.1173352.

Human H, Brodschneider R, Dietemann V, Dively G, Ellis JD, Forsgren E, Fries I, Hatjina F, Hu F-L, Jaffé R, Jensen AB, Köhler A, Magyar JP, Özkýrým A, Pirk

CWW, Rose R, Strauss U, Tanner G, Tarpy DR, van der Steen JJM, Vaudo A, Vejsnæs F, Wilde J, Williams GR, Zheng H-Q (2013) Miscellaneous standard methods for *Apis mellifera* research. Journal of Apicultural Research 52: 1-53. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.10.

Hung ACF (2000) PCR detection of Kashmir bee virus in honey bee excreta. Journal of Apicultural Research 39: 103-106. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2000.11101029.

Ivers NA, Jordan Z, Cohen H, Tripodi A, Brown MJF, Liere H, Lin BB, Philpott S, Jha S (2022) Parasitism of urban bumble bees influenced by pollinator taxonomic richness, local garden management, and surrounding impervious cover. Urban Ecosystems 25: 1169-1179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-022-01211-0.

James RR, Li Z (2012) Chapter 12 - From silkworms to bees: Diseases of beneficial insects: Insect Pathology (Second Edition) (ed. by FE Vega & HK Kaya) Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 425-459.

James RR, Skinner JS (2005) PCR diagnostic methods for *Ascosphaera* infections in bees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 90: 98-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2005.08.004.

Jensen AB, Aronstein K, Flores JM, Vojvodic S, Palacio MA, Spivak M (2013) Standard methods for fungal brood disease research. Journal of Apicultural Research 52: 1-20. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.1.13.

Jones CM, Brown MJF (2014) Parasites and genetic diversity in an invasive bumblebee. Journal of Animal Ecology 83: 1428-1440. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12235.

Kapongo JP, Shipp L, Kevan P, Broadbent B (2007) Optimal concentration of *Beauveria bassiana* vectored by bumble bees in relation to pest and bee mortality in greenhouse tomato and sweet pepper. BioControl 53: 797. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-007-9142-9.

Kissinger CN, Cameron SA, Thorp RW, White B, Solter LF (2011) Survey of bumble bee (*Bombus*) pathogens and parasites in Illinois and selected areas of northern California and southern Oregon. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 107: 220-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.04.008.

Klee J, Tek Tay W, Paxton RJ (2006) Specific and sensitive detection of *Nosema bombi* (Microsporidia: Nosematidae) in bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.; Hymenoptera: Apidae) by PCR of partial rRNA gene sequences. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 91: 98-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2005.10.012.

Koch H, Schmid-Hempel P (2011a) Bacterial communities in central European bumblebees: low diversity and high specificity. Microbial Ecology 62: 121-133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-011-9854-3.

Koch H, Schmid-Hempel P (2011b) Socially transmitted gut microbiota protect bumble bees against an intestinal parasite. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108: 19288-19292. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1110474108.

Koch H, Woodward J, Langat MK, Brown MJF, Stevenson PC (2019) Flagellum removal by a nectar metabolite inhibits infectivity of a bumblebee parasite. Current Biology 29: 3494-3500.e3495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.037.

Koch JB, Strange JP (2012) The status of *Bombus occidentalis* and *B. moderatus* in Alaska with special focus on *Nosema bombi* incidence. Northwest Science 86: 212-220. https://doi.org/10.3955/046.086.0306.

Kosaka H, Sayama K, Kanzaki N, Makino S, Okabe K (2012) Parasites of the introduced bumblebee, *Bombus terrestris*, naturalized in Hokkaido, northern Japan [in Japanese]. Chikyu-kankyo 17: 135-141.

Kubo R, Ugajin A, Ono M (2016) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of mermithid nematodes (Mermithida: Mermithidae) discovered from Japanese bumblebee (Hymenoptera: Bombinae) and behavioral observation of an infected bumblebee. Applied Entomology and Zoology 51: 549-554. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-016-0430-7.

Kwong WK, Engel P, Koch H, Moran NA (2014) Genomics and host specialization of honey bee and bumble bee gut symbionts. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111: 11509-11514. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405838111.

Kwong WK, Moran NA (2013) Cultivation and characterization of the gut symbionts of honey bees and bumble bees: description of *Snodgrassella alvi* gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of the family Neisseriaceae of the Betaproteobacteria, and *Gilliamella apicola* gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of Orbaceae fam. nov., Orbales ord. nov., a sister taxon to the order 'Enterobacteriales' of the Gammaproteobacteria. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 63: 2008-2018. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.044875-0.

Kwong WK, Moran NA (2016) Gut microbial communities of social bees. Nature Reviews Microbiology 14: 374-384. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.43.

Larsson JIR (2007) Cytological variation and pathogenicity of the bumble bee parasite *Nosema bombi* (Microspora, Nosematidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 94: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2006.07.006.

Leatherdale D (1970) The arthropod hosts of entomogenous fungi in Britain. Entomophaga 15: 419-435. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02370311.

Levitt AL, Singh R, Cox-Foster DL, Rajotte E, Hoover K, Ostiguy N, Holmes EC (2013) Cross-species transmission of honey bee viruses in associated

arthropods. Virus Research 176: 232-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2013.06.013.

Li J, Peng W, Wu J, Strange JP, Boncristiani H, Chen Y (2011) Cross-species infection of Deformed Wing Virus poses a new threat to pollinator conservation. Journal of Economic Entomology 104: 732-739. https://doi.org/10.1603/ec10355.

Lipa J, J., Triggiani O (1996) *Apicystis* gen nov and A*picystis bombi* (Liu, Macfarlane & Pengelly) comb nov (Protozoa: Neogregarinida), a cosmopolitan parasite of *Bombus* and *Apis* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Apidologie 27: 29-34. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:19960104

Liu HJ, Macfarlane RP, Pengelly DH (1974) *Mattesia bombi* n. sp. (Neogregarinida: Ophrocystidae), a parasite of *Bombus* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 23: 225-231. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(74)90188-8.

Logan A, Ruiz-GonzÁLez MX, Brown MJF (2005) The impact of host starvation on parasite development and population dynamics in an intestinal trypanosome parasite of bumble bees. Parasitology 130: 637-642. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182005007304.

Lubbock J (1861) On *Spaerularia bombi*. Natural History Review 1: 44-57.

Lundberg H, Svensson BG (1975) Studies on the behaviour of *Bombus* Latr. species (Hym. , Apidae) parasitized by *Sphaerularia bombi* Dufour (Nematoda) in an alpine area. Norwegian Journal of Entomology 22: 129-134.

Macfarlane RP (1976) Fungi associated with Bombinae (Apidae: Hymenoptera) in North America. Mycopathologia 59: 41-42. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00491203.

Macfarlane RP, Griffin RP (1990) New Zealand distribution and seasonal incidence of the nematode, *Sphaerularia bombi* Dufour, a parasite of bumble bees. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 17: 191-199. https://doi.org/10.1080/03014223.1990.10422596.

Macfarlane RP, Lipa JJ, Liu HJ (1995) Bumble bee pathogens and internal enemies. Bee World 76: 130-148.

MacLean BK (1966) Internal parasitism of *Bombus* spp. in Indiana. Proceedings of the North Central Branch Entomological Society of America 20: 94-95.

Maharramov J, Meeus I, Maebe K, Arbetman M, Morales C, Graystock P, Hughes WOH, Plischuk S, Lange CE, de Graaf DC, Zapata N, de la Rosa JJP, Murray TE, Brown MJF, Smagghe G (2013) Genetic variability of the neogregarine *Apicystis bombi*, an etiological agent of an emergent bumblebee disease. PLOS ONE 8: e81475. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081475.

Malfi RL, Davis SE, Roulston TaH (2014) Parasitoid fly induces manipulative grave-digging behaviour differentially across its bumblebee hosts. Animal

Behaviour 92: 213-220. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.04.005.

Manley R, Boots M, Wilfert L (2015) Emerging viral disease risk to pollinating insects: ecological, evolutionary and anthropogenic factors. Journal of Applied Ecology 52: 331-340. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12385.

Marche MG, Mura ME, Ruiu L (2016) *Brevibacillus laterosporus* inside the insect body: Beneficial resident or pathogenic outsider? Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 137: 58-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2016.05.002.

Maxfield-Taylor SA, Mujic AB, Rao S (2015) First detection of the larval chalkbrood disease pathogen *Ascosphaera apis* (Ascomycota: Eurotiomycetes: Ascosphaerales) in adult bumble bees. PLOS ONE 10: e0124868. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124868.

Mazzei M, Carrozza ML, Luisi E, Forzan M, Giusti M, Sagona S, Tolari F, Felicioli A (2014) Infectivity of DWV associated to flower pollen: Experimental evidence of a horizontal transmission route. PLOS ONE 9: e113448. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113448.

McCorquodale DB, Beresford RG, Francis JM, Thomson CE, Bartlett CM (1998) Prevalence of *Sphaerularia bombi* (Nematoda: Tylenchida: Spaerulariidae) in bumble bee queens (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia, Canada. The Canadian Entomologist 130: 877-882. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent130877-6.

McMahon DP, Fürst MA, Caspar J, Theodorou P, Brown MJF, Paxton RJ (2015) A sting in the spit: widespread cross-infection of multiple RNA viruses across wild and managed bees. Journal of Animal Ecology 84: 615-624. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12345.

McMenamin AJ, Brutscher LM, Glenny W, Flenniken ML (2016) Abiotic and biotic factors affecting the replication and pathogenicity of bee viruses. Current Opinion in Insect Science 16: 14-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2016.04.009.

McNeil DJ, McCormick E, Heimann AC, Kammerer M, Douglas MR, Goslee SC, Grozinger CM, Hines HM (2020) Bumble bees in landscapes with abundant floral resources have lower pathogen loads. Scientific Reports 10: 22306-22306. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78119-2.

Meeus I, De Graaf DC, Jans K, Smagghe G (2010) Multiplex PCR detection of slowly-evolving trypanosomatids and neogregarines in bumblebees using broad-range primers. Journal of Applied Microbiology 109: 107-115. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04635.x.

Meeus I, de Miranda JR, de Graaf DC, Wäckers F, Smagghe G (2014) Effect of oral infection with Kashmir bee virus and Israeli acute paralysis virus on bumblebee (*Bombus terrestris*) reproductive success.

Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 121: 64-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.06.011.

Meeus I, Vercruysse V, Smagghe G (2012) Molecular detection of *Spiroplasma apis* and *Spiroplasma melliferum* in bees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 109: 172-174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.11.006.

Mockler BK, Kwong WK, Moran NA, Koch H, Drake HL (2018) Microbiome structure influences infection by the parasite *Crithidia bombi* in bumble bees. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 84: e02335-02317. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02335-17.

Morimoto T, Kojima Y, Yoshiyama M, Kimura K, Yang B, Peng G, Kadowaki T (2013) Molecular detection of protozoan parasites infecting *Apis mellifera* colonies in Japan. Environmental Microbiology Reports 5: 74-77. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2012.00385.x.

Müller U, McMahon DP, Rolff J (2019) Exposure of the wild bee *Osmia bicornis* to the honey bee pathogen *Nosema ceranae*. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 21: 363-371. https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12338.

Mullins JL, Strange JP, Tripodi AD (2019) Why are queens broodless? Failed nest initiation not linked to parasites, mating status, or ovary development in two bumble bee species of *Pyrobombus* (Hymenoptera: Apidae: *Bombus*). Journal of Economic Entomology 113: 575-581. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toz330.

Mullins M, Den Uyl J, Cruz E, Trail S, Davidson B, Campbell D, Mooney E (2020) Advanced phenology of intraguild predators shifts herbivore host plant preference and performance. Ecological Entomology 45: 1004-1014. https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12878.

Murray TE, Coffey MF, Kehoe E, Horgan FG (2013) Pathogen prevalence in commercially reared bumble bees and evidence of spillover in conspecific populations. Biological Conservation 159: 269-276. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.10.021.

Nanetti A, Bortolotti L, Cilia G (2021) Pathogens spillover from honey bees to other Arthropods. Pathogens 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10081044.

Ngor L, Palmer-Young EC, Burciaga Nevarez R, Russell KA, Leger L, Giacomini SJ, Pinilla-Gallego MS, Irwin RE, McFrederick QS (2020) Cross-infectivity of honey and bumble bee-associated parasites across three bee families. Parasitology 147: 1290-1304. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020001018.

Niu J, Smagghe G, De Coninck DIM, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Deforce D, Meeus I (2016) In vivo study of Dicer-2-mediated immune response of the small interfering RNA pathway upon systemic infections of virulent and avirulent viruses in *Bombus terrestris*. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 70: 127-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.12.006.

Otterstatter MC, Gegear RJ, Colla SR, Thomson JD (2005) Effects of parasitic mites and protozoa on the flower constancy and foraging rate of bumble bees. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 58: 383-389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-005-0945-3

Otti O, Schmid-Hempel P (2007) *Nosema bombi*: A pollinator parasite with detrimental fitness effects. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 96: 118-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2007.03.016.

Otti O, Schmid-Hempel P (2008) A field experiment on the effect of *Nosema bombi* in colonies of the bumblebee *Bombus terrestris*. Ecological Entomology 33: 577-582. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2008.00998.x

Palacios G, Hui J, Quan PL, Kalkstein A, Honkavuori KS, Bussetti AV, Conlan S, Evans J, Chen YP, vanEngelsdorp D, Efrat H, Pettis J, Cox-Foster D, Holmes EC, Briese T, Lipkin WI (2008) Genetic analysis of Israel Acute Paralysis Virus: Distinct clusters are circulating in the United States. Journal of Virology 82: 6209-6217. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00251-08.

Paxton RJ, Schäfer MO, Nazzi F, Zanni V, Annoscia D, Marroni F, Bigot D, Laws-Quinn ER, Panziera D, Jenkins C, Shafiey H (2022) Epidemiology of a major honey bee pathogen, deformed wing virus: potential worldwide replacement of genotype A by genotype B. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 18: 157-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2022.04.013.

Peng W, Li J, Boncristiani H, Strange JP, Hamilton M, Chen Y (2011) Host range expansion of honey bee Black Queen Cell Virus in the bumble bee, *Bombus huntii*. Apidologie 42: 650-658. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-011-0061-5.

Pislak Ocepek M, Toplak I, Zajc U, Bevk D (2021) The pathogens spillover and incidence correlation in bumblebees and honeybees in Slovenia. Pathogens 10: 884. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10070884

Plischuk S, Fernández de Landa G, Revainera P, Quintana S, Pocco ME, Cigliano MM, Lange CE (2021) Parasites and pathogens associated with native bumble bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: *Bombus* spp.) from highlands in Bolivia and Peru. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 56: 93-98. https://doi.org/10.1080/01650521.2020.1743551.

Plischuk S, Lange CE (2012) *Sphaerularia bombi* (Nematoda: Sphaerulariidae) parasitizing *Bombus atratus* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in southern South America. Parasitology Research 111: 947-950. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-012-2853-6.

Plischuk S, Martin-Hernandez R, Prieto L, Lucia M, Botias C, Meana A, Abrahamovich AH, Lange C, Higes M (2009) South American native bumblebees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) infected by *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia), an emerging pathogen of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). Environmental Microbiology Research

1: 131-135. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00018.x.

Plischuk S, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Lange CE (2011) *Apicystis bombi* (Apicomplexa: Neogregarinorida) parasitizing *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus terrestris* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Argentina. Environmental Microbiology Reports 3: 565-568. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00261.x.

Plischuk S, Salvarrey S, Arbulo N, Santos E, Skevington JH, Kelso S, Revainera PD, Maggi MD, Invernizzi C, Lange CE (2017) Pathogens, parasites, and parasitoids associated with bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) from Uruguay. Apidologie 48: 298-310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-016-0474-2.

Plischuk S, Sanscrainte ND, Becnel JJ, Estep AS, Lange CE (2015) *Tubulinosema pampeana* sp. n. (Microsporidia, Tubulinosematidae), a pathogen of the South American bumble bee *Bombus atratus*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 126: 31-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.01.006.

Poinar GO, Van Der Laan PA (1972) Morphology and life history of *Sphaerularia bombi*. Nematologica 18: 239-252. https://doi.org/10.1163/187529272X00476.

Praet J, Parmentier A, Schmid-Hempel R, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Vandamme P (2018) Large-scale cultivation of the bumblebee gut microbiota reveals an underestimated bacterial species diversity capable of pathogen inhibition. Environmental Microbiology 20: 214-227. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13973.

Přidal A (2001) Microorganisms in dead bumble bee larvae (*Bombus spp.*) from laboratory-reared colonies. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis 40: 41-48.

Přidal A (2002) Effects of three bacteria species on *Bombus terrestris* male larvae under laboratory conditions. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis 50: 35-46.

Přidal A, Sedláček I, Marvanová L (1997) Microbiology of Bombus terrestris L. larvae (Hymenoptera: Apoidea) from laboratory rearing. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis 45: 59-66.

Procop GW (2007) Molecular diagnostics for the detection and characterization of microbial pathogens. Clinical Infectious Diseases 45: S99-S111. https://doi.org/10.1086/519259.

Radzevičiūtė R, Theodorou P, Husemann M, Japoshvili G, Kirkitadze G, Zhusupbaeva A, Paxton RJ (2017) Replication of honey bee-associated RNA viruses across multiple bee species in apple orchards of Georgia, Germany and Kyrgyzstan. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 146: 14-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2017.04.002.

Rao S, Poinar G, Henley D (2017) A scientific note on rare parasitism of the bumble bee pollinator, *Bombus impatiens*, by a mermithid nematode, *Pheromermis* sp. (Nematoda: Mermithidae). Apidologie 48: 75-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-016-0451-9.

Ravoet J, De Smet L, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Wenseleers T, de Graaf DC (2014) Widespread occurrence of honey bee pathogens in solitary bees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 122: 55-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.08.007.

Remnant EJ, Shi M, Buchmann G, Blacquière T, Holmes EC, Beekman M, Ashe A, Ross SR (2017) A diverse range of novel RNA viruses in geographically distinct honey bee populations. Journal of Virology 91: e00158-00117. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00158-17.

Reynaldi FJ, Sguazza GH, Albicoro FJ, Pecoraro MR, Galosi CM (2013) First molecular detection of co-infection of honey bee viruses in asymptomatic *Bombus atratus* in South America. Brazilian journal of biology = Revista brasileira de biologia 73: 797-800. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1519-69842013000400016.

Rinder H, Janitschke K, Aspöck H, Silva AJD, Deplazes P, Fedorko DP, Franzen C, Futh U, Hünger F, Lehmacher A, Meyer CG, Molina J-M, Sandfort J, Weber R, Löscher T (1998) Blinded, externally controlled multicenter evaluation of light microscopy and PCR for detection of microsporidia in stool specimens. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 36: 1814-1818. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.36.6.1814-1818.1998.

Ruiz-González MX, Brown MJF (2006) Honey bee and bumblebee trypanosomatids: specificity and potential for transmission. Ecological Entomology 31: 616-622. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2006.00823.x.

Ruiz-González MX, Bryden J, Moret Y, Reber-Funk C, Schmid-Hempel P, Brown MJF (2012) Dynamic transmission, host quality, and population structure in a multihost parasite of bumblebees. Evolution 66: 3053-3066. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01655.x.

Runckel C, Flenniken ML, Engel JC, Ruby JG, Ganem D, Andino R, DeRisi JL (2011) Temporal analysis of the honey bee microbiome reveals four novel viruses and seasonal prevalence of known viruses, *Nosema*, and *Crithidia*. PLOS ONE 6: e20656. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020656.

Rutrecht ST, Brown MJF (2008) The life-history impact and implications of multiple parasites for bumble bee queens. International Journal for Parasitology 38: 799-808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.11.004.

Rutrecht ST, Brown MJF (2009) Differential virulence in a multiple-host parasite of bumble bees: resolving the paradox of parasite survival? Oikos 118: 941-949. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17392.x.

Sachman-Ruiz B, Narváez-Padilla V, Reynaud E (2015) Commercial *Bombus impatiens* as reservoirs of emerging infectious diseases in central México.

Biological Invasions 17: 2043-2053. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-0859-6.

Sadd BM (2011) Food-environment mediates the outcome of specific interactions between a bumblebee and its trypanosome parasite. Evolution 65: 2995-3001. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01345.x.

Sadd BM, Barribeau SM (2013) Heterogeneity in infection outcome: lessons from a bumblebee-trypanosome system. Parasite Immunology 35: 339-349. https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12043.

Salvarrey S, Antúnez K, Arredondo D, Plischuk S, Revainera P, Maggi M, Invernizzi C (2021) Parasites and RNA viruses in wild and laboratory reared bumble bees *Bombus pauloensis* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) from Uruguay. PLOS ONE 16: e0249842. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249842.

Schmid-Hempel P (1999) Parasites in Social Insects. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Schmid-Hempel R, Tognazzo M (2010) Molecular divergence defines two distinct lineages of *Crithidia bombi* (Trypanosomatidae), parasites of bumblebees. Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology 57: 337-345. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.2010.00480.x.

Schoonvaere K, De Smet L, Smagghe G, Vierstraete A, Braeckman BP, de Graaf DC (2016) Unbiased RNA shotgun metagenomics in social and solitary wild bees detects associations with Eukaryote parasites and new viruses. PLOS ONE 11: e0168456. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168456.

Schulz M, Ścibior R, Grzybek M, Łoś A, Paleolog J, Strachecka A (2019) A new case of honeybee *Apis mellifera* infection with bumblebee parasite *Apicystis bombi* (Apicomplexa: Neogregarinorida). Comparative Parasitology 86: 65-67, 63. https://doi.org/10.1654/1525-2647-86.1.65

Shrivastava KP (1982) Bacterium (*Lactobacillus*?) *eurydice* strains from bumble bees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 40: 180-185. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(82)90113-6.

Singh R, Levitt AL, Rajotte EG, Holmes EC, Ostiguy N, vanEngelsdorp D, Lipkin WI, dePamphilis CW, Toth AL, Cox-Foster DL (2010) RNA viruses in Hymenopteran pollinators: Evidence of inter-taxa virus transmission via pollen and potential impact on non-*Apis* Hymenopteran species. PLOS ONE 5: e14357. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014357

Sinpoo C, Disayathanoowat T, Williams PH, Chantawannakul P (2019) Prevalence of infection by the microsporidian *Nosema* spp. in native bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.) in northern Thailand. PLOS ONE 14: e0213171. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213171.

Smagghe G, De Meyer L, Meeus I, Mommaerts V (2013) Safety and acquisition potential of *Metarhizium anisopliae* in entomovectoring with bumble bees, *Bombus terrestris*. Journal of Economic Entomology 106: 277-282. https://doi.org/10.1603/ec12332.

Soroye P, Newbold T, Kerr J (2020) Climate change contributes to widespread declines among bumble bees across continents. Science 367: 685-688. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax8591.

Stevanovic J, Schwarz RS, Vejnovic B, Evans JD, Irwin RE, Glavinic U, Stanimirovic Z (2016) Species-specific diagnostics of *Apis mellifera* trypanosomatids: A nine-year survey (2007–2015) for trypanosomatids and microsporidians in Serbian honey bees. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 139: 6-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2016.07.001.

Strange JP, Colla SR, Duennes MA, Evans EC, Figueroa LL, Lehmann DM, Moylett H, Richardson L, Sadd BM, Smith JW, Smith TA, Spevak EM, Tripodi AD, Inouye DW (2023) An evidence-based rationale for a North American commercial bumble bee clean stock certification program. Journal of Pollination Ecology 33(1): 1-13. https://doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603(2023)721

Streicher T, Tehel A, Tragust S, Paxton RJ (2023) Experimental viral spillover can harm *Bombus terrestris* workers under field conditions. Ecological Entomology in press. https://doi.org/10.1111/een.13203.

Szalanski AL, Trammel CE, Tripodi AD, Cleary D, Rusert L, Downey D (2016) Molecular diagnostics of the honey bee parasites *Lotmaria passim* and *Crithidia* spp. (Trypanosomatidae) using multiplex PCR. Florida Entomologist 99: 793-795, 793. https://doi.org/10.1653/024.099.0438

Tehel A, Brown MJF, Paxton RJ (2016) Impact of managed honey bee viruses on wild bees. Current Opinion in Virology 19: 16-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2016.06.006.

Tehel A, Streicher T, Tragust S, Paxton RJ (2022) Experimental cross species transmission of a major viral pathogen in bees is predominantly from honeybees to bumblebees. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 289: 20212255. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2255.

Tokarev YS, Huang W-F, Solter LF, Malysh JM, Becnel JJ, Vossbrinck CR (2020) A formal redefinition of the genera *Nosema* and *Vairimorpha* (Microsporidia: Nosematidae) and reassignment of species based on molecular phylogenetics. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 169: 107279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2019.107279.

Tripodi AD, Strange JP (2018) Rarely reported, widely distributed, and unexpectedly diverse: molecular characterization of mermithid nematodes (Nematoda: Mermithidae) infecting bumble bees (Hymenoptera:

Apidae: *Bombus*) in the USA. Parasitology 145: 1558-1563. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018000410.

Tripodi AD, Szalanski AL, Strange JP (2018) Novel multiplex PCR reveals multiple trypanosomatid species infecting North American bumble bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: *Bombus*). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 153: 147-155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2018.03.009.

Van Dooren TJM (2019) Assessing species richness trends: Declines of bees and bumblebees in the Netherlands since 1945. Ecology and Evolution 9: 13056-13068. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5717.

Velthuis HHW, van Doorn A (2006) A century of advances in bumblebee domestication and the economic and environmental aspects of its commercialization for pollination. Apidologie 37: 421-451. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2006019

Wang H, Meeus I, Piot N, Smagghe G (2018) Systemic Israeli acute paralysis virus (IAPV) infection in bumblebees (*Bombus terrestris*) through feeding and injection. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 151: 158-164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2017.11.015.

Ward L, Waite R, Boonham N, Fisher T, Pescod K, Thompson H, Chantawannakul P, Brown M (2007) First detection of Kashmir bee virus in the UK using real-time PCR. Apidologie 38: 181-190. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2006072.

Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME (2008) Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nature Reviews Microbiology 6: 741-751. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1969.

Whittington R, Winston ML (2003) Effects of *Nosema bombi* and its treatment fumagillin on bumble bee (*Bombus occidentalis*) colonies. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 84: 54-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2011(03)00123-X

Woodard SH, Federman S, James RR, Danforth BN, Griswold TL, Inouye D, McFrederick QS, Morandin L, Paul DL, Sellers E, Strange JP, Vaughan M, Williams NM, Branstetter MG, Burns CT, Cane J, Cariveau AB, Cariveau DP, Childers A, Childers C, Cox-Foster DL, Evans EC, Graham KK, Hackett K, Huntzinger KT, Irwin RE, Jha S, Lawson S, Liang C, López-Uribe MM, Melathopoulos A, Moylett HMC, Otto CRV, Ponisio LC, Richardson LL, Rose R, Singh R, Wehling W (2020) Towards a U.S. national program for monitoring native bees. Biological Conservation 252: 108821. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108821.

Wynns AA, Jensen AB, Eilenberg J (2013) *Ascosphaera callicarpa*, a new species of bee-loving fungus, with a key to the genus for Europe. PLOS ONE 8: e73419. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073419.

Yang S, Rothman RE (2004) PCR-based diagnostics for infectious diseases: uses, limitations, and future applications in acute-care settings. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 4: 337-348. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01044-8.

Zhang X, He SY, Evans JD, Pettis JS, Yin GF, Chen YP (2012) New evidence that deformed wing virus and black queen cell virus are multi-host pathogens. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 109: 156-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.09.010.

Zimmermann G (2008) The entomopathogenic fungi *Isaria farinosa* (formerly *Paecilomyces farinosus*) and the *Isaria fumosorosea* species complex (formerly *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*): biology, ecology and use in biological control. Biocontrol Science and Technology 18: 865-901. https://doi.org/10.1080/09583150802471812.