AN UPDATED INSECT EXCLOSURE DESIGN FOR POLLINATION ECOLOGY
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Abstract—Exclosures are a common method for quantifying the effects of animal pollinators on flowering plant species. However, a lack of standardized designs or clear descriptions of previously implemented exclosure designs decreases replicability in pollination studies and reduces scientific rigor. We summarized previous descriptions of pollination exclosure designs, and developed/tested a novel exclosure design in alpine environments on the Beartooth Plateau in northern Wyoming, USA. This exclosure design consists of a cylindrical internal wire frame, integrated ground stakes, and various mesh materials attached to the exterior. Exclosures on the plateau showed high efficacy in inhibiting insects from pollinating flowering plants, and nearly all of these exclosures remained functional throughout the time they were in place. Our updated exclosure design is effective, inexpensive, easy to produce, and widely applicable across differing ecosystems and experimental design types.
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INTRODUCTION

Exclosures are a common method for quantifying the effects of animal pollinators on flowering plant species (Colwell & Fuentes 1975; Macior, 1978; Lazaro et al. 2014). Terms used to describe devices preventing pollinator access to flowering plants include: “cage”, “exclosure”, “mesh”, and/or “netting” (Peterson et al. 1960; Macior 1970; Macior 1973; Thorp & Estes 1975; Larson & Barrett 1999; Al-Kahtani et al. 2017). Use of these terms is generally unaccompanied by explicit descriptions of exclosure design. A lack of standardized designs or clear descriptions of pollinator exclosures decreases replicability in pollination studies and reduces scientific rigor (Hutson 1925; Kaufmann 1975).

Effective pollinator inhibition requires properly designed exclosures suitable for the particular conditions of the study system. Pollinator studies in the alpine zone are particularly challenging. In these systems, exclosures must be amenable to rocky slopes with shallow soils, and capable of withstanding inclement weather. One goal of this paper is to develop and describe pollinator exclosures adequate for alpine conditions. The reasons for this are twofold. First, exclosure designs suitable for the alpine are likely to be capable of weathering climatic extremes from most terrestrial systems. Second, studies of alpine pollination are particularly relevant given the negative effects of global warming on alpine plant species (Guisan & Theurillat 2000; Ernakovich et al. 2014), including the increased likelihood of plant-pollinator phenological mismatings (Inouye 2008; Kudo 2021), the dependence of alpine plants on animal pollinators, particular hymenopterans (Bauer 1983; Ollerton et al. 2011; Pepin et al. 2015; Byers & Cheng 2017; Inouye 2020), and the global decline of many hymenopteran pollinators (Sanchez-Bayo & Wyckhuys 2019).

In our consideration of animal pollinator exclosures, we sought to complete two tasks. First, as a historical baseline, we wished to assemble and summarize previous descriptions of pollination exclosures. Second, we wanted to develop and test an exclosure design that was: 1) adequate for inclement conditions, 2) effective as an exclosure mechanism, including the exclusion of pollinators of particular size ranges, 3) lightweight and durable, 4) easy to produce and 5) cost-effective.

HISTORICAL ANIMAL POLLINATOR EXCLOSURE DESIGNS

A number of papers have reported the use of exclosures in pollinator research. Most of these,
however, provide poor guidance for reproducing exclusion designs, or have been criticized as inadequate for measuring pollinator effects. Papers using pollinator exclosures often mention the use of fabric bags of varying mesh sizes that are either draped over a plant or particular inflorescences to prevent pollination (Fig 1A; Graham & Jones 1996; Khan et al. 2012; Kings & Sargent 2012). Other papers use meat casing material or fiberglass mesh to enclose flowers at a small scale (Whitney 1984). These designs can be criticized for three reasons. First, they may fail to exclude animal pollinators or encourage self-pollination in non-obligate out-crossing plants because of the absence of structural support that prevents materials from touching the flower. Second, attachment design for these exclosures may come loose, failing to exclude insects (Delaplane et al. 2015), and the small size of exclosure may lead to failure under windy conditions. Third, attachment of exclosures may damage plants, hampering inferences concerning pollinator effects (Orueta 2002). An improvement to bag-exclusion designs incorporates rigid supports that separate exclosure materials from flowers (Fig 1B; Young 1980; O’Brien 1980; Kalisz et al. 1999; Whitaker et al. 2007; Montgomery & Phillips 2015; Cunningham-Minnick et al. 2019). Historically, exclosures with structural supports (excluding smaller devices) have been rectangular, infrequently anchored to the ground, and heavy (Fig 1C, D; Roberts & Freeman 1908; Herrera 1987; Herrera 2000). These constraints, particularly weight, may prevent usage in inaccessible locations. On the other hand, lightweight designs may require an anchoring system to be persistent in windy ecosystems. Historically, anchors have consisted of wooden/metal stakes, with some designs utilizing a basal ring for supporting stakes (Arroyo et al. 2013; Pacheco 2016). While effective, these designs could be improved through the integration of anchoring components. Few studies have reported using cylindrical exclosures, even though this shape is amenable to the morphology of most plants (Bliss 1962; Wainwright 2013). Papers using cylindrical exclosures have not provided sufficient details to allow replicability (Abdala-Roberts et al. 2009; Abdala-Roberts et al. 2014). Cone-shaped exclosures have been used but do not work well with hardware mesh (Allphin 2005).

Another topic of consideration for pollinator exclosures is their potential confounding effect on environmental factors. For instance, exclosures may affect surface soil moisture, stomatal conductance, total plant biomass, wind speed, solar radiation, and the availability of rainfall.

Figure 1. (A) Mesh exclosure around a plant without structural support (Dixon 2017) (B) Bag exclosure supported by wire (Young 1983) (C) Wooden-frame exclosures surrounding whole plant (Roberts & Freeman 1908) (D) Metal-frame exclosure with mesh.
An updated exclosure design

Other studies have reported no difference in these important environmental variables between exclosure and open sites (Lazaro et al. 2014). The effects of exclosures thus may be site specific and/or related to the materials used. Clearly, recognition of confounding effects is necessary when using exclosures for pollination experiments.

A NOVEL POLLINATOR EXCLUSION DESIGN

Our design improves on existing structures through the use of lightweight rigid metal wire bent to conform to mesh constraints, and affixed to the ground using an integrated anchoring system (cf. Thomson et al. 2011). Thus, our approach eliminates the need for a basal support to hold stakes and is modifiable for uneven terrain. Inspiration for our design comes largely from Kearns and Inouye (1993) who mention the use of tomato cages as support for net bags to exclude pollinators. The structure is also compatible with any mesh bag types, including rigid hardware cloth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXCLUSION ASSEMBLY

The internal structure of the exclosure consists of wire fencing material, which comes in cylindrical rolls (Everbilt, 14-gauge galvanized steel wire, 5 x 10 cm opening). Wire cutters were used to cut the 14-gauge wire fencing to desired length. Fencing was cut to the vertical wires for attachment of the loose ends with zip ties (Commercial Electric, 2.54 cm, UL type 21, standard nylon) (Fig. 2A). For an incorporated anchoring system, we cut the bottom-most horizontal support so that vertical wires remained (Fig. 2B). When rocky soils prevent insertion of wire extensions, extensions can be twisted and bent parallel to the surface, and the frame can be affixed to the ground using U-nails (Fas’n’Tite fencing staples, galvanized, 4.45 cm, 9 gauge) (Fig. 2C). U-nail size can be changed depending on soil conditions.

The exclosure frame can be used with a variety of mesh materials, including hardware cloth (Fig. 3). We cut hardware cloth (Everbilt, 19-gauge, galvanized steel wire, 1.27 cm mesh opening) with metal shears lengthwise, to the correct height of the exclosure (Fig. 3A). Hardware cloth was attached with small zip ties (Fig. 3B). To make the lid, we cut a square of hardware cloth slightly larger than the diameter of the exclosure opening.

Figure 2. Exclosure frame, without any external mesh covering. (A) Exclosure frame assembled with zip ties, (B) Exclosures bottom horizontal support cut for integrated stakes, (C) in the case of hard ground, use of fencing staples may be necessary.
We attached the square section to the top of the exclosure, cut off excess, and attached with zip ties (Fig. 3C).

Figure 3. Attachment of hardware cloth to internal frame structure. (A) Completed exclosure frame with hardware cloth unattached, (B) Hardware cloth attached to the side of exclosure, (C) Hardware cloth attached to the top of exclosure.

Material with different sized openings can be used to exclude pollinators of specific size. Fig 4. shows an exclosure with screen-door mesh (Phifer, silver-grey, fiberglass screen, 1 x 1 mm opening), to exclude all pollinators. Pre-made pollination bags can be used to fit over the internal exclosure structure. For example, a pollination bag designed by Thomson et al. (2011) could be employed that would eliminate production time and the need to fill gaps with native materials (i.e., soil, rock). While straightforward to assemble, this option is less cost effective.

FIELD TESTING

We field-tested exclosures at 3050 m elevation on the Beartooth Plateau, Wyoming, US during the summer of 2020. This alpine environment is characterized by vast summit plateaus and harsh weather conditions. One hundred and twenty-three pollinator exclosures were randomly placed within six blocks along an elevational gradient. Three types of exclosures (control, wire-mesh, and fine-mesh) were randomly placed within each block for individuals of each of seven flowering herbaceous alpine species (Castilleja pulchella, Delphinium bicolor, Lupinus monticola, Mertensia alpina, Oxytropis campestris, Polemonium viscosum, Trifolium dasyphlorum). One-hundred and twenty-three exclosures were placed instead of 7 x 6 x 3 = 126 exclosures because D. bicolor occurred at only five of the six elevational blocks.

Control exclosures were mesh-free and designed without a cap, while wire mesh and fine mesh exclosures meant to exclude insects were completely wrapped. The exclosures remained on the Beartooth Plateau from July 7th to August 29th, and monitored daily (> 5x/week) for defects or failures. Average height of exclosures was 50 cm, which was excessive for the growth form of plants on the plateau. We also measured soil moisture (volumetric water content) and temperature within and outside of 54 exclosures. At the end of the experimental period fruit set was recorded, and a mixed-effect ANOVA was used to analyze fruit set differences between exclosure types, plant species, and the interaction of exclosure types and
plant species. A paired t-test was used to test for soil moisture and temperature differences for paired inside/outside exclosure measurements.

Figure 4. Attachment of screen door mesh to internal frame structure. (A) Mesh attached to the top of exclosure, (B) Mesh surrounding the side of exclosure, showing length needed for attachment, (C) Mesh attached securely to exclosure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mesh exclosures demonstrated high efficacy in inhibiting insects from reaching flowering plants, with plants fruiting at a low level while in non-control exclosures. A mixed-effect ANOVA identified significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) differences in fruit set based on exclosure type (control, wire-mesh, and fine-mesh) ($F_{2,101} = 3.9103, P = 0.023$, Fig. 5) and species ($F_{6,101} = 27.5, P < 2 \times 10^{-16}$). No pollinators were observed entering or leaving non-control exclosures (Tetreault, personal observations). No exclosure $\times$ species interaction and random blocking (elevational) effects were detected, ($F_{12,101} = 27.5, P = 0.635$) and ($\chi^2 = -1.421e^{-13}, P = 1$), respectively, in the mixed effect model.

In post hoc exclosure comparisons, fruit set from the fine mesh exclosures was significantly different than the control after controlling for familywise type I error (FWER) using Tukey’s HSD (adjusted-$P = 0.018846$; Fig 5). Baseline fruit set was species specific. In twelve of twenty-one possible post hoc pairwise comparisons, fruit set among species was significantly different after controlling for FWER (Fig 6).

No significant differences were found between exclosures and open sites for soil temperature ($t_{53} = 0, P = 1$), although a trend was apparent for soil moisture ($t_{53} = -2.0018, P = 0.05044$). Thus, we recommend that future studies measure inside/outside exclosure soil moisture to account for the potential confounding effect of exclosures on soil moisture.

Of the 120 exclosures distributed across the field site, 114 remained in place through the season. Of the six exclosure failures, five were covered with screen-door mesh. The smaller-opening screen door mesh exclosures were more affected by wind. To mitigate these effects, we propose the following steps. To decrease wind exposure, we recommend that exclosure heights are minimized with respect to enclosed plants. To affix exclosures more firmly to the ground, exclosure lids can be weighted, and the anchoring mechanism can be reinforced with U-shaped stakes/fencing nails (Fig 2C).

The high persistence rate of hardware cloth exclosures in the high wind conditions of the Beartooth Plateau bodes well for the use of this design in other ecosystems. The internal wire structure is the major advancement in our design over earlier designs. The wireframe is easy to attach to any hardware cloth gage for use in a variety of pollination experiments. The rigid structure allows for use of our design in exclosure
studies involving larger herbivores (e.g., mice, small ungulates). Separate anchoring devices are generally not necessary because of the integrated supports. If necessary, inexpensive materials (i.e., cloth, duct tape) can be used to fill in any gaps between the exclosure mesh and the ground surface. The combination of cost-effective materials, ease of production, high success rate in harsh conditions, and applicability across ecosystem and experimental design types should make this exclosure exceptionally useful for pollination ecology.
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